



Measuring the gluon Sivers function at a future Electron-Ion Collider

Speaker: Liang Zheng

Central China Normal University

In collaboration with: E.C. Aschenauer (BNL) J.H.Lee (BNL) Bo-wen Xiao (CCNU) Zhongbao Yin (CCNU)



Outline

- Nucleon structure and gluon Sivers effect
- Model calculations
- Experimental considerations
- Summary

Exploring nucleon structure

- Nucleon is a dynamical system of quarks and gluons
 - How are the partons distributed in space and momentum inside the nucleon?
 - How are these quark and gluon distributions correlated with the overall nucleon properties, such as spin direction?
 - What is the role of the orbital motion of sea quarks and gluons in building the nucleon spin.
- EIC: the ultimate machine to understand the nature of the nucleon partonic structure.



TMDs and Sivers function

- Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD) parton • distributions provide useful tools to image the nucleon 3D structure in momentum space.
- Sivers function describes the correlation of k_{τ} and S_{τ} . \bullet



Current knowledge to quark Sivers

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dx\,dy\,d\phi_S\,dz\,d\phi_h\,dP_{hT}^2} \propto F_{UU,T} + |\mathbf{S}_{\perp}|\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} + \dots$



Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 65 429 (2015)

$$\tilde{\Delta}^N f_{a/p^{\uparrow}}(x,k_{\perp}) = -\frac{2k_{\perp}}{M_p} f_{1T}^{\perp a}(x,k_{\perp})$$

- Accessed with SIDIS measurements.
- Sizable Sivers effect.
- u, d quark Sivers with opposite sign.
- Subject to large uncertainty.



Current constraints on gluon Sivers

0.004 JHEP 09, 119 (2015) 0.002 Å 0 -0.002 $\Delta \chi^2 = 10\% \chi^2_{min}$ $\Delta \gamma^2 = 2\% \chi^2_{min}$ -0.004 KRE - SIDIS1 gluon 2 3 5 4 6 1 P_T (GeV) 100 $\Delta \chi^2 / \chi^2_{min} = 10\%$ $\Delta \chi^2 / \chi^2_{\rm min} = 2\%$ 10 Ref. [2] 1 $\Delta^{\mathsf{Nf}_{\mathsf{g}}^{(1)}(\mathsf{x})}$ 0.1 0.01 **KRE - SIDIS1** 0.001 0.0001 0.01 0.1 х

Extraction based on A_N data at RHIC

Extraction on COMPASS data



$$A_{PGF}^{\sin(\phi_{2h}-\phi_{S})} = -0.14 \pm 0.15$$
(stat.)
 $\langle x_G \rangle = 0.126$

- Effective gluon Sivers from A_N may differ from the actual gluon Sivers in TMD.
- Limited x and Q² range explored in SIDIS. Still allow for gluon Sivers contributions of 1/N_c.
- No hard constraints at this moment.

Studying Sivers in the EIC era



- Disentangle Sivers and Collins asymmetries.
- Extend the current Sivers data to smaller x.
- Large Q², x, coverage to pin down TMD evolution.



Accessing gluon Sivers at an EIC

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma^* + p^{\uparrow} \to h_1 + h_2 + X}}{dz_{h1} dz_{h2} d^2 p_{h1\perp} d^2 p_{h2\perp}} = C \int_{z_{h1}}^{1 - z_{h2}} \sum_{q} dz_q \frac{z_q (1 - z_q)}{z_{h2}^2 z_{h1}^2} d^2 p_{1\perp} d^2 p_{2\perp} \hat{f}_{g/p^{\uparrow}}(x_g, k_{\perp}) \\ \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{tot}}^{\gamma^* g \to q\bar{q}}(z_q, k_{1\perp}, k_{2\perp}) e_q^2 D_{h1/q}(\frac{z_{h1}}{z_q}, p_{1\perp}) D_{h2/\bar{q}}(\frac{z_{h2}}{1 - z_q}, p_{2\perp})$$



Back-to-back limit: $P_{T}' = |P_{T}^{h_{1}} - P_{T}^{h_{2}}|/2$ $k_{T}' = |P_{T}^{h_{1}} + P_{T}^{h_{2}}|$ $k_{T}' << P_{T}'$ Treatable single spin asymmetry (SSA) dependent on gluon Sivers

$$A_{UT} = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}} \propto \frac{\Delta^{N} f_{g/p^{\uparrow}}(x, k_{\perp})}{f_{1}^{g}(x_{g}, k_{\perp})}$$

Inputs to the model calculation

$$\Delta^N f_{a/p^{\uparrow}}(x,k_{\perp}) = 2\mathcal{N}_a(x)f_{a/p}(x,k_{\perp})h(k_{\perp})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_a(x) = N_a x^{\alpha_a} (1-x)^{\beta_a} \frac{(\alpha_a + \beta_a)^{(\alpha_a + \beta_a)}}{\alpha_a^{\alpha_a} \beta_a^{\beta_a}}$$

$$h(k_{\perp}) = \sqrt{2e} \, \frac{k_{\perp}}{M} \, e^{-k_{\perp}^2/M^2}$$



Quark Sivers: arXiv:1107.4446 Anselmino et. al. u and d quarks only

$$N_u = 0.40 \qquad \alpha_u = 0.35 \qquad \beta_u = 2.6 \\ N_d = -0.97 \qquad \alpha_d = 0.44 \qquad \beta_d = 0.90 \\ M_1^2 = 0.19 \text{ GeV}^2$$

Gluon Sivers: JHEP 09 (2015) 119 D' Alesio et. al. u, d + Kretzer FF (SIDIS1) u, d + sea + DSS FF (SIDIS2) $N_g = 0.05, \alpha_g = 0.8, \beta_g = 1.4, M_g^2 = 0.34 \text{GeV}^2$ (SIDIS1)

Positivity bound ansatz:

$$f_{1T}^{\perp g} = -\frac{2\sigma M_p}{k_{\perp}^2 + \sigma^2} f_g(x, k_{\perp}), \quad \sigma = 0.8$$

Model-I: Positivity bound Model-II: Positivity bound x 5% Model-III: SIDIS1 gluon Sivers fit

EIC setup for gluon SSA study



9/13/2016

D meson pair selection

Branching ratio: 3.9% $D^0(c\bar{u}) \rightarrow \pi^+(u\bar{d})K^-(s\bar{u})$ $\bar{D}^0(\bar{c}u) \rightarrow \pi^-(\bar{u}d)K^+(u\bar{s})$

- Acceptance for PID is assumed to be |η|<3.5
- Decay products from D mesons are mostly less than 10 GeV in mid-rapidity.
- Decay products p_T>0.2 GeV.



Projections for the SSA with D meson pairs

ep[↑] 20x250 GeV D⁰ cut: D->K + pi (3.9%) Acceptance $|η|^{pi/K} < 3.5$ p_T^{pi/K}>0.2 GeV, $z^{pi/K} > 0.1$, Correlation limit: $k_T' < 0.7P_T'$ $< x_g >= 0.033$ $\sigma_{DDbar pair} = 2.2x10^{-3}$ nb

PGF fraction:99.4%Gluon Sivers best ide

- Gluon Sivers best identified with positivity bound: Model I.
- Dominated by Gluon Sivers effect
- Integrated Luminosity: 20 fb⁻¹ delivers $\delta A_{UT} \approx 2.1 \times 10^{-2}$

Difference between black and red shows the effect of gluon Sivers

The statistical uncertainty obtained with P=70% polarization $(\delta A_{UT})^2 = \frac{1}{P^2 \sigma L}$

$$A(\phi_{Sk}) = R^{SIG} A^{SIG}(\phi_{Sk}) + R^{BG} A^{BG}(\phi_{Sk})$$



 $\phi_{Sk'} = \phi_S - \phi_{k'_T}$

Dihadron pair selection



Projections on the SSA with K⁺K⁻ pairs

Kinematic cuts: ep 20x250 GeV The s 0.01 < y < 0.95 $1 < Q^2 < 20 \text{ GeV}^2$ $p_T > 1.7 \text{ GeV}, z_h > 0.1, |\eta| < 3.5$ Back-to-back limit: $k_T' < 0.7P_T'$ $\sigma_{K+K-} = 3.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ nb}$ $< x_g > = 0.05$

- Hard to resolve 5% level of positivity bound: Model-II.
- PGF events accounting for 93%.
- Integrated Luminosity: 20 fb⁻¹ delivers $\delta A_{UT} \approx 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$

Difference between black and red shows the effect of gluon Sivers

he statistical uncertainty obtained with P=70% polarization
$$(\delta A_{UT})^2 = \frac{1}{P^2 \sigma L}$$

$$A(\phi_{Sk}) = R^{SIG} A^{SIG}(\phi_{Sk}) + R^{BG} A^{BG}(\phi_{Sk})$$



Projections on the SSA with charged dihadron pairs

Kinematic cuts: ep 20x250 GeV The statistical uncertainty obtained with P=70% polarization $(\delta A_{UT})^2 = \frac{1}{P^2 \sigma I}$ 0.01<y<0.95 1<Q²<20 GeV² p_T>1.7 GeV, z_b>0.1, |η|<4.5 Back-to-back limit: $k_{\tau}' < 0.7 P_{\tau}'$ $\sigma_{dihadron}$ =0.5 nb <x_g>=0.063

- Model II well identified but hard to resolve Model III.
- PGF events accounting for 80%.
- Integrated Luminosity: 20 fb⁻¹ delivers δA_{IIT} ≈ 1.4x10⁻³

Together with well understood quark Sivers function, gluon Sivers sign and behavior can be constrained with an EIC.



 $A(\phi_{Sk}) = R^{SIG} A^{SIG}(\phi_{Sk}) + R^{BG} A^{BG}(\phi_{Sk})$

9/13/2016

Comparison of all the probes

- Gluon Sivers effect is a luminosity hungry measurement.
- Vertical line represents the statistical uncertainty.
- Charged dihadron probe is the most statistically favored.
- D meson probe is mostly dominated by gluon dynamics.





Summary

- Gluon Sivers function is an important ingredient of the complete 3D imaging of the nucleon.
- The single spin asymmetry arising from gluon Sivers is treatable in an effective TMD framework.
- D meson and K⁺K⁻ are better to tag gluon dynamics than charged dihadron, but will be more luminosity challenged.
- Gluon Sivers can be constrained via PGF coupling within EIC machine and detector reach.