# Two-body Wave Functions, Compositeness, and the Internal Structure of Dynamically Generated Resonances

#### Takayasu SEKIHARA

(Japan Atomic Energy Agency)

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Two-body wave functions from scattering amplitude
- 3. Compositeness of dynamically generated resonances
- 4. Summary
- [1] <u>T. S.</u>, arXiv:1609.xxxxx [hep-ph].
- [2] <u>T. S.</u>, T. Hyodo and D. Jido, *PTEP* <u>2015</u> 063D04.
- [3] <u>T. S.</u>, *PTEP* <u>2015</u> 091D01 [Letters].
- [4] <u>T. S.</u>, T. Arai, J. Yamagata-Sekihara and S. Yasui, *Phys. Rev.* <u>C93</u> (2016) 035204.



#### ++ Exotic hadrons and their structure ++

Exotic hadrons --- not same quark component as ordinary hadrons

= not qqq nor  $q\overline{q}$ .











Penta-quarks

Tetra-quarks Hybrids

<u>ds</u> <u>Glu</u>

<u>Glueballs</u>

Hadronic molecules

 Actually <u>some hadrons cannot be</u> <u>described by the quark model</u>.
 <u>Do exotic hadrons really exist ?</u>





 Hadronic molecules should be unique, because they are composed of hadrons themselves, which are color singlet states.
 --> Large spatial size, compositeness, ....
 26th International Nuclear Physics Conference (INPC2016) @ Adelaide (Sep. 11 - 16, 2016)

#### ++ Hadronic molecules and quantum mechanics ++

An example of hadronic molecule: <u>deuteron</u>.



Deuteron is a proton-neutron bound state. <-- Who proved this ?</li>
 Weinberg proved this by using general wave equations in quantum mechanics in the weak binding limit (B<sub>E</sub> << E<sub>typical</sub>). Weinberg (1965).
 Introduce field renormalization constant Z: Z ≡ ⟨B|B<sub>0</sub>⟩⟨B<sub>0</sub>|B⟩
 Since Component | B<sub>0</sub> > in the total wave function | B >.
 a = 2(1-Z)/(2-Z)R + O(m<sub>π</sub><sup>-1</sup>), r<sub>e</sub> = -Z/(1-Z)R + O(m<sub>π</sub><sup>-1</sup>), R ≡ 1/(√2μB) = 4.318 fm

 $a = 5.419 \pm 0.007 \text{ fm}, \quad r_e = 1.7513 \pm 0.008 \text{ fm}$  --> Consistent with  $Z \approx 0$  !



#### ++ Hadronic molecules and quantum mechanics ++

An example of hadronic molecule: <u>deuteron</u>.



Lesson: In a similar manner, we can study the structure of general hadronic molecules.

---- We can use <u>quantum mechanics</u> to investigate them: Two-body wave function, its norm = compositeness, scattering amplitude, ...

#### <--> In contrast, for hadrons of other configurations, we have to treat color multiplet states explicitly and appropriately.



#### ++ How to clarify their structure ? ++

- How can we <u>use quantum mechanics</u> to clarify the structure of hadronic molecule candidates ?
- We evaluate the wave function of hadron-hadron composite contribution. ---- cf. Wave function for relative motion of two nucleons inside deuteron.



- How to evaluate the wave function ? <-- We employ a fact that the two-body wave function appears
  - in the residue of the scattering amplitude of the two particles at the resonance pole.
  - (q)  $\Psi = \tilde{\psi}(q) = \frac{\gamma(q)}{E_{\text{pole}} \mathcal{E}(q)}$  $X \equiv \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{q})\right]^2$ The WF and compositeness (= norm) are automatically scaled.

$$T(E; \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{q}) = \langle \mathbf{q}' | \hat{T}(E) | \mathbf{q} \rangle \approx \frac{\gamma(q')\gamma(q)}{E - E_{\text{pole}}}$$



++ How to calculate the wave function ++
 There are several approaches to calculate the wave function.
 Ex.) A bound state in a NR single-channel problem.
 Usual approach: Solve the Schrödinger equation.

 $\hat{H}|\Psi
angle = (\hat{H}_0 + \hat{V})|\Psi
angle = E_{
m pole}|\Psi
angle$ 

---- Wave function in coordinate / momentum space:

 $\langle {f r} | \Psi 
angle = \psi(r) \qquad \langle {f q} | \Psi 
angle = ilde{\psi}(q)$ 

 $\begin{bmatrix} M_{\rm th} - \frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu} + V(r) \end{bmatrix} \psi(r) = E_{\rm pole}\psi(r)$  $\begin{array}{c} --- \mid q > \text{is an eigenstate of} \\ \hline \text{free Hamiltonian } H_0: \\ \hline \hat{H}_0 \mid \mathbf{q} \rangle = \mathcal{E}(q) \mid \mathbf{q} \rangle \\ \hline \mathcal{E}(q) = M_{\rm th} + \frac{q^2}{2\mu} \end{array}$ 

--> After solving the Schrödinger equation, we have to normalize the wave function by hand.

$$\int d^3 r \left[\psi(r)\right]^2 = 1 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\tilde{\psi}(q)\right]^2 = 1 \qquad \text{<--} \frac{\text{We require !}}{|\Psi|^2}$$



++ How to calculate the wave function ++
 There are several approaches to calculate the wave function.
 Ex.) A bound state in a NR single-channel problem.
 Our approach: Solve the Lippmann-Schwinger equation at the pole position of the bound state.

$$\hat{T}(E) = \hat{V} + \hat{V} \frac{1}{E - \hat{H}_0} \hat{T} = \hat{V} + \hat{V} \frac{1}{E - \hat{H}} \hat{V} \qquad T(E; \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{q}) = \langle \mathbf{q}' | \hat{T}(E) | \mathbf{q} \rangle$$

--- Near the resonance pole position  $E_{pole}$ , amplitude is dominated by the pole term in the expansion by the eigenstates of H as

$$\langle \mathbf{q}' | \hat{T}(E) | \mathbf{q} \rangle \approx \langle \mathbf{q}' | \hat{V} | \Psi \rangle \frac{1}{E - E_{\text{pole}}} \langle \tilde{\Psi} | \hat{V} | \mathbf{q} \rangle$$

--- The residue of the amplitude at the pole position has information on the wave function !  $\langle \mathbf{q}|\hat{V}|\Psi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{q}|(\hat{H} - \hat{H}_0)|\Psi \rangle = [E_{\text{pole}} - \mathcal{E}(q)]\tilde{\psi}(q)$   $\langle \tilde{\Psi}|\hat{V}|\mathbf{q} \rangle = [E_{\text{pole}} - \mathcal{E}(q)]\tilde{\psi}(q)$  $\mathcal{E}(q) = M_{\text{th}} + \frac{q^2}{2\mu}$ 



26th International Nuclear Physics Conference (INPC2016) @ Adelaide (Sep. 11 - 16, 2016)

7

 $|\Psi\rangle, |\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{full}}\rangle, ... | \langle \tilde{\Psi}|, \langle \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{full}}|, ...$ 

++ How to calculate the wave function ++ There are several approaches to calculate the wave function. Ex.) A bound state in a NR single-channel problem. Our approach: Solve the Lippmann-Schwinger equation at the pole position of the bound state. --- The wave function can be extracted from the residue of the amplitude at the pole position:  $T(E; \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{q}) = \langle \mathbf{q}' | \hat{T}(E) | \mathbf{q} \rangle \approx \frac{\gamma(q')\gamma(q)}{E - E_{\text{pole}}} \overset{\text{<-- Off-shell Amp.}}{| \gamma(q) \equiv \langle \mathbf{q} | \hat{V} | \Psi \rangle} = [E_{\text{pole}} - \mathcal{E}(q)] \tilde{\psi}(q)$ 

--> Because the scattering amplitude cannot be freely scaled due to the optical theorem, the wave function from the residue of the amplitude is automatically scaled as well !

If purely molecule -->

$$\int rac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \left[rac{\gamma(q)}{E_{
m pole}-{\cal E}(q)}
ight]^2 = 1$$

<-- We obtain !

**Containt:** E. Hernandez and A. Mondragon, Phys. Rev. C29 (1984) 722.

#### --> Therefore, from hadron-hadron scattering amplitudes with resonance poles, we can calculate their two-body wave function.





#### ---- Without normalizing by hand !





#### ++ Example: Stable bound state ++

• We define the compositeness X as the norm of the wave function:

$$X \equiv \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \tilde{\Psi} | \mathbf{q} \rangle \langle \mathbf{q} | \Psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty dq \, \mathcal{P}(q) \left[ \left| \mathcal{P}(q) = \frac{4\pi q^2}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{\gamma(q)}{E_{\text{pole}} - \mathcal{E}(q)} \right]^2 \right] \right]$$

--- In the following, we <u>calculate X from the scattering amplitude</u>.

The compositeness is unity for energy independent interaction. Hernandez and Mondragon (1984).



Haron

11

#### ++ Example: Stable bound state ++

We define the compositenes



#### ++ Example: Stable bound state ++

We define the compositenes

$$X\equiv\intrac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\langle ilde{\Psi}|{f q}
angle\langle{f q}|\Psi
angle=,$$

--- In the following, we calcula





[MeV]

q



 Deviation of compositeness from unity can be interpreted as a missing-channel part. T. S., Hyodo and Jido, PTEP 2015 063D04.



26th International Nuclear Physics Conference (INPC2016) @ Adelaide (Sep. 11 - 16, 2016)

400

#### ++ Lessons from schematic models ++

 We can extract the two-body wave function from the residue of the scattering amplitude at the pole position, both stable and unstable.



- The WF from the scattering amplitude is <u>automatically scaled</u>.
   <u>The compositeness</u> (= norm of the two-body WF) is <u>unity</u> for a bound state in <u>an energy independent interaction</u>.
  - For an energy dependent interaction, the compositeness deviates from unity, reflecting <u>a missing channel contribution</u>.



J AEA



#### ++ Compositeness for $\Xi(1690)$ ++

• Compositeness X for  $\Xi(1690)$  in the chiral unitary approach.



Haron

#### ++ Compositeness for $\Lambda(1405)$ ++

#### • Compositeness X for $\Lambda(1405)$ in the chiral unitary approach.



#### ---- Large KN component for (higher pole) $\Lambda(1405)$ , since $X_{KN}$ is almost unity with small imaginary parts.

JAEA Hidron

26th International Nuclear Physics Conference (INPC2016) @ Adelaide (Sep. 11 - 16, 2016)

**T.S.**, Hyodo and Jido, *PTEP* 2015, 063D04.

# ++ Compositeness for N(1535) and N(1650) ++ Compositeness X for N(1535) & N(1650) in chiral unitary approach.



For both N\* resonances, the missing-channel part Z is dominant.
 -> N(1535) and N(1650) have large components originating from contributions other than πN, ηN, KA, and KΣ.



#### ++ Compositeness for $\Delta(1232)$ ++

#### • **Compositeness** *X* for $\Delta(1232)$ in chiral unitary approach.



 <u>The πN compositeness X<sub>πN</sub> takes</u> <u>large real part !</u> But non-negligible imaginary part as well.
 Large πN component in the Δ(1232) resonance !?



## 4. Summary



- The WF from the scattering amplitude is <u>automatically scaled</u>.
   <u>The compositeness</u> (= norm of the two-body WF) is <u>unity</u> for a bound state in <u>an energy independent interaction</u>.
  - For an energy dependent interaction, the compositeness deviates from unity, reflecting <u>a missing channel contribution</u>.
- In the chiral unitary approach, as an effective model, we evaluate the compositeness of dynamically generated resonances.
   Δ(1405) as KN! □ Ξ(1690) as KΣ! □ Δ(1232) as πN!?



# Thank you very much for your kind attention !







## Appendix

#### ++ Observable and model (in)dependence ++

Here we comment on the observables and non-observables.

- Observables: Cross section. Its partial-wave decomposition.
   --> On-shell Scatt. amplitude via the optical theorem.
   Mass of bound states.
   NOT observables: Wave function and potential. Resonance pole position.
  - Residue at pole. Off-shell amplitude.



 --> Since the residue of the amplitude at the resonance pole is NOT observable, the wave function and its norm = compositeness are also not observable and model dependent.
 --- Exception: Compositeness for near-threshold poles.



## Appendix



General case: Compositeness are model dependent quantity.
--> Therefore, we have to employ <u>appropriate effective models</u> (V) to construct <u>precise</u> hadron-hadron scattering amplitude, in order to discuss the structure of hadronic molecule candidates !



# Two-body Wave Functions, Compositeness, and the Internal Structure of Dynamically Generated Resonances

#### Takayasu SEKIHARA

(Japan Atomic Energy Agency)

in collaboration with

Daisuke JIDO (Tokyo Metropolitan Univ.),

Tetsuo HYODO (Yukawa Inst., Kyoto Univ.)

Sigehiro YASUI (Tokyo Inst. Tech.), and

Junko YAMAGATA-SEKIHARA (Nat. Inst. Tech., Oshima Coll.)

