Sign of enhanced radius of Hoyle rotational state in  $\alpha$  + <sup>12</sup>C inelastic scattering

# M. Tomita, Iwasaki, R. Otani, M. Ito

Department of Pure and Applied Physics, Kansai University

- 1. Background: Probe of  $3\alpha$  size through  ${}^{12}C \Rightarrow 3\alpha$  inelastic scattering Present status and problem
- **2**. Our viewpoint and analysis: Comparison of  $2_1^+$  with  $2_2^+$
- **3.** Results:  $\alpha$ +<sup>12</sup>C inelastic scattering and spatial size of the reaction
- 4. Discussion: Lower limit of the matter radius of Hoyle rotational 2<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>
- 5. Summary and future studies

# Background

There are several studies that try to get the signature of the enhanced  $3\alpha$  radius in the inelastic scattering of  ${}^{12}C_{g.s.} \rightarrow {}^{12}C(0_2^+)$ .

A. Diffraction model

```
p + <sup>12</sup>C: K. lida et al., MPLA27 (2012)
light-ion + <sup>12</sup>C: A. N. Danilov et al., PRC80 (2009)
```

An enhanced diffraction radius is obtained in the transition of  ${}^{12}C_{g.s.} \rightarrow {}^{12}C(0_2^+)$ 

# B. Microscopic coupled-channel calculations

 $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha + {}^{12}C \text{ inelastic scattering: S. Ohkubo et al., PRC70 (2004)} \\ \text{Evolution of Airy structures are confirmed in the } {}^{12}C_{g.s.} \rightarrow {}^{12}C(0_2^+) \text{ scattering} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Criticism by M. Takashina et al., PRC78 (2008), PRC74 (2006)

 $\Rightarrow$  Inelastic scattering to Hoyle state does NOT reflect the size of the 3 $\alpha$  matter radius Angular distribution is mainly determined by the size of the coupling potential



Radius

3.47[fm]



# Problem to get a sign of enhanced 3α radius in <sup>12</sup>C inelastic scattering

- 1. Comparison of  $0_1^+$  with  $0_2^+$ 
  - $0_2^+ \Rightarrow$  Inelastic scattering
  - $0_1^+ \Rightarrow$  Elastic scattering
- $\Rightarrow$ Invalid comparison !
- 2. Comparison of  $2_1^+$ ,  $3_1^-$  with  $0_2^+$

There are finite-spin effects in the  $2_1^+$  and  $3_1^-$  channels

⇒Unfair comparison !



Theory, Y. Funaki, EPJ24,321 (05)

### Our viewpoint

We consider comparisons of  $2_1^+$  with  $2_2^+$  channels (Fair comparison) The difference of the  $2_1^+$  and  $2_2^+$  channels is just a size of their matter radius

## Present report

We demonstrate that a sign of the enhanced radius of  $2_2^+$  appears in  $\alpha + {}^{12}C$  inelastic scattering by performing the microscopic coupled channels.



 $r_{i}^{2}$ : <sup>12</sup>C density is calculated by M. Kamimura 3 $\alpha$  RGM Channels:  $0_1^+, 0_2^+, 0_3^+, 2_1^+, 2_2^+, 3_1^-$ 

#### Results of the differential cross section in $\alpha$ + <sup>12</sup>C

prm8





Energy systematics of enhancement of R<sub>sc</sub>(2<sup>+</sup>)



# Summary

- A comparison of  $2_1^+$  and  $2_2^+$  exit channels is possible to probe an enhanced radius of Hoyle rotational  $2_2^+$  state.
- We introduce the scattering radius (R<sub>sc</sub>) to characterize a size of reaction.
- Microscopic coupled-channels of  $\alpha$  + <sup>12</sup>C are performed and scattering radii (R<sub>sc</sub>) are derived for various exit channels.

## Results

- Shrunk differential and extended partial cross sections are observed in  $2_2^+$
- $R_{sc}$  of  $2_2^+$  is enhanced by about 1 fm in comparison to that of  $2_1^+$ .
- $\Rightarrow$  Calculation predicts that extension of Hoyle rotational  $2_2^+$  can be probed.

# Future subject

- Measurement of Ex=10MeV cross section over a wide energy and scattering angle is important.
- Careful MDA should be done for the differential cross section of Ex=10MeV state.

Application of the diffraction theory

K. lida et al., MPLA 27 (2012)J. S. Blair, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \left( 0_1^+ \to 2^+ \right) \propto J_0^2(x) + 3J_2^2(x) \qquad x = 2ka \sin\left(\frac{\theta_{c.m.}}{2}\right)$$



# Relation of scattering radius and $3\alpha$ matter radius

In a naïve consideration, we can image the following relation,

$$R_{matter}(2_{2}^{+}) \geq R_{matter}(2_{1}^{+}) + \Delta Rsc(2^{+})$$

$$II$$

$$R_{matter}(0_{1}^{+}) \sim 1 \text{ fm}$$

$$= 2.4 \text{ fm}$$

$$Prediction \text{ at}$$

$$Elab \leq 240 \text{ MeV}$$

We can speculate the lower limit of the matter radius of  $2_2^+$ 

$$R_{matter}(2_2^+) \ge 3.4 \text{ fm}$$

# Comparison of experiment and Theory (Elab = 386 MeV)



In the  $2_2^+$  distribution, shrinkage and rapid fall down can be clearly observed  $\Delta R_{sc} = Rsc(2_2^+) - R_{sc}(2_1^+) = 0.6 \text{ fm} (\Delta R_{sc} \sim 1 \text{ fm}, \text{ Elab} \leq 240 \text{ MeV})$ 

prm8

# Scattering radius in $\alpha$ + <sup>12</sup>C (E<sub>lab</sub> = 386 MeV)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$R_{SC} = \frac{\overline{L}}{k}$$
 : scattering radius

Effective orbital spin

Incident Partial cross orbital spin section

Ref.: M. Tomita et al., PRC89 (2014)

	<b>0</b> <sub>1</sub> <sup>+</sup>	<b>2</b> <sub>1</sub> <sup>+</sup>	02+	<b>2</b> <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	3 <sub>1</sub> <sup>-</sup>
effective orbital spin $\overline{L}$	22.87	29.67	33.83	33.69	31.76
scattering radius $R^{lpha}_{SC}$ [fm]	3.53	4.58	5.21	5.20	4.90
matter radius $ar{r}$ [fm]%	2.40	2.38	3.47	4.00	2.76

XM. Kamimura, NPA351 (1981).

 $R_{SC}(2_2^+)$  is more enhanced by about 0.62 fm than  $R_{SC}(2_1^+) \Rightarrow 3\alpha$  structure in  $2_2^+$ 

# Transition densities and coupling potential: $0_1^+ \Rightarrow 2_1^+, 2_2^+$

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

The coupling potential to  $2_{2}^{+}$  is extended by about 0.5 fm in comparison to  $2_{1}^{+}$ 

The extension of the coupling pot. of  $2_2^+$  is due to the developed  $3\alpha$  structure

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Scattering angle (degrees)

Final state distortion and CC effect

	2ch. w/o Distortion	2ch. With Mono-Dis.	2ch. With Full-Dis.	Full CC calculation
Rsc(2 <sub>1</sub> +)	3.92	4.37	4.36	4.37
Rsc(2 <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> )	4.42	5.15	5.16	5.30
ΔRsc	0.50	0.78	0.80	0.93
ΔR(Vcp)	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60

VCP:54%, Distortion:33%, CC-effect:13%

# Systematics of the coupling potential: $0_1^+ \Rightarrow 2_1^+$ ,

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Sensitivity of the transition density to the matter radius

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Black sphere limit of the scattering radius

We consider the high energy scattering by a black sphere potential

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Partial cross section of the BS scattering

$$L_{\max} = ka$$
  

$$\sigma(L) \propto \begin{cases} 2L+1 & \text{for } L \le L_{\max} \\ 0 & \text{for } L > L_{\max} \end{cases}$$

Effective orbital spin and the scattering radius

$$\overline{L} = \sqrt{\sum_{L=0}^{L_{\text{max}}} L^4 \sigma(L)} \qquad \overline{L} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} ka = \sqrt{\frac{10}{9}} k \underline{r}_{BS} \approx \sqrt{\frac{10}{9}} k \underline{r}_{matter} \qquad A. \text{ Kohama et al.} \\ \text{PRC69 (2009)} \qquad \overline{L}/k = R_{SC} \approx 1.05 r_{matter} \qquad (\text{ high Energy limit })$$

# Comparison with the complex g-matrix NN int.

Dotted curve: complex g-matrix NN int.

Solid curve: DDM3Y NN int.

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Differential and Partial cross sections: <sup>16</sup>O + <sup>12</sup>C

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Uncertainty Relation:  $L\theta \approx \hbar$ 

# Differential and Partial cross sections: 1H + 12C

 $p+ {}^{12}C(0_1^+) \Rightarrow p + {}^{12}C(2^+)$ 

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

Uncertainty Relation:  $L\theta \approx \hbar$ 

# Systematics of the scattering radii (1)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\Delta R_{SC} = RSc(2_2^+) - R_{SC}(2_1^+) = 1.0 \text{ fm in average}$ 

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\Delta R_{sc}$  is about 1.3~2 times larger than  $\Delta R(V_{CP})$ 

Transition Densities:  $0_1^+ \Rightarrow 2_1^+, 2_2^+$ 

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Red:  $0_1^+ \Rightarrow 2_2^+$ Black:  $0_1^+ \Rightarrow 2_1^+$ 

## Previous works (1): Diffraction model (p+<sup>12</sup>C)

K. lida, A. Oyamatsu, A. Kohama, MPLA27 (2012)

 $\ln^{12}C_{g.s.} \rightarrow^{12}C(0_{2}^{+})$ , diffraction radius is enhanced in the  $3\alpha$  final channel.

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

The enhancement of the diffraction radius is clearly confirmed,

but the relation of the  $3\alpha$  wave function and diffraction radius still remains unclear.

Diff. radius  $\Rightarrow$  the size of the coupling potential ?? (Pointed out by Takashia).

# Previous works (2): Microscopic coupled-channel ( $\alpha$ +<sup>12</sup>C)

S. Ohkubo and Y. Hirabayashi, Phys. Rev. C70, 041602(R) (2004)

The microscopic coupled-channel calculation is performed for an  $\alpha$  scattering by  $^{12}\text{C}$  (Folding model with 3a RGM w.f. + DDM3Y NN int. )

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

In  ${}^{12}C_{g.s.} \rightarrow {}^{12}C(0_2^+)$ , Evolution of the Airy minima is observed.

⇒ Evolution is a sign of the enhanced attraction at the surface region of the 3a state !?

# Previous works (3): Analysis of the MCC(<sup>4</sup>He+<sup>12</sup>C)

M. Takashina and Y. Sakuragi, Phys. Rev. C74, 054606 (2006)

In the microscopic coupled-channel calculation, the sensitivity of the angular distribution to the size of the  $3\alpha$  state is investigated.

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

Oscillating pattern is quite INSENSITIVE to the radius of the final  $3\alpha$  state

Oscillation is quite SENSITIVE to a size of the transition potential of  ${}^{12}C_{g,s} \rightarrow {}^{12}C(0_2^+)$