

Searching for Charged Lepton Flavor Violation (cLFV) --Mu2E at FNAL--



Ed V Hungerford University of Houston (for the Mu2E Collaboration)





The Mu2e Collaboration-



200 scientists, 34 institutions

Argonne National Laboratory, Boston University, Brookhaven National Laboratory University of California, Berkeley, University of California, Irvine, Cal. Tech, City University of New York, JINR Dubna, Duke University, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, University of Houston, INFN Genova, Kansas State University, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, INFN Lecce and Università del Salento, Lewis University, University of Louisville, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati and Università Marconi Roma, University of Minnesota, Muons Inc., Northern Illinois University, Northwestern University, Novosibirsk State University/Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Institute For Nuclear Research, Moscow, INFN Pisa, Purdue University, Rice University, University of South Alabama, Sun Yat Sen University, University of Virginia, University of Washington, Yale University

cLFV

MU2e





- Origin of EW symmetry breaking
- Hierarchy problem Neutrino mass and mixing issues
- Dark matter in the Universe connecting the large and small
- SUSY, extra dimensions, various Higgs models
- Charged Lepton Flavor Violation (cLFV) e.g. Mu2e Neutrino-less direct conversion of $\mu \rightarrow e$

Mu2e at FNAL has a single event sensitivity of $\sim 6x10^{-17} (\mu \rightarrow e / \mu \rightarrow e + \nu)$

Now 10⁻¹⁷ is tiny, tiny, tiny, ...

Equivalent to the probability of both lightning and a medeorite striking your house in the same month

MU2



Family Mixing (BSM)



- The CKM matrix mixes Family number in weak decays
- Neutrino families mix
- In the SM cLFV it is heavily suppressed $\sim (\Delta M_v/M_w)^4$
- Yet most (BSM) models predict observation in new experiments



Any observation by Mu2e Requires BSM Physics





Searching for BSM Physics cLFV is a discovery experiment



The Blind Men and the Elephant John Godfrey Saxe (1816-1887)

It was six men of Indostan To learning much inclined, Who went to see the Elephant (Though all of them were blind), That each by observation Might satisfy his mind.

And so these men of Indostan Disputed loud and long, Each in his own opinion Exceeding stiff and strong, Though each was partly in the right, And all were in the wrong!





CLFV

e

9/12/16

To reach Higher Sensitivity

 Reduce Beam Associated Background Pulsed beam and use the long μ lifetime
Increase μ Stopping High Intensity Pion Production (μ from π decay) Use magnetic solenoids to capture, transport, detect μ
Electron Energy Resolution and Timing Better tracking detectors Employ new electronic technology to handle higher rates

Many of these ideas were contained in MELC (V. Lobashev, 1992)

Gradient field 2T-> 1T : Uniform Solenoid 1T (Tracking Detector)

-Target Selection-Compromise of Lifetime to Signal and accelerator bunching

cLFV

Muon Production at FNAL

- Beam related background reduced by beam pulsing with detector active between spills (MELC)
- Continuous Solenoids capture muons, transport them, and analyze decay electrons from a stopping target

9/12/16

cLFV

The DS is a superconducting solenoid ~12m long with ~2m bore with a uniform 1T field in the tracking region. The stopping target is a series of 17 Al foils 200 μ m thick. The proton absorber removes/degrades protons from the target after μ capture. The beam dump captures the un-stopped beam. Most DIO electrons pass through the central hole in the detectors

Calorimeter 678 CsI Crystals

- 2 disks; each disk contains 678 undoped CsI crystals 20 x 3.4 x 3.4 cm3
- Disk separation ~ 75 cm ½ average electron orbit
- Inner/outer radii: 37.4/66 cm
- Readout system:

a)2 custom arrays of 6x6 mm² SiPMs/crystal,

b) 12 bit, 200 Msps waveform digitizer boards

Mu2e

Calorimeter Simulations

 Cleaning of tracking hits using a time window of +/- 50 ns between tracking hits and Cluster Time

Improves S/N from ¼ to
1/1 in signal window

Improves S/N more than a factor 20 over all hit times

Improve/simplify Pattern Recognition.

100 110 120

P (MeV/c)

103

100

105

9/12/16

Backgrounds – 3.6x10²⁰ protons (It's what you don't simulate that bites)

Background	Background Estimate	Error Estimate	Justification
Muon decay-in-orbit	0.22	± 0.06	Acceptance and energy loss modeling, spectrum calculation; reconstruction algorithm
Cosmic Rays	0.05	± 0.013	Statistics of sample
Radiative Pion Capture	0.03	± 0.007	Acceptance and energy loss modeling
Pion decay In-Flight	0.003	± 0.0015	Cross-section, acceptance modeling
Muon decay In-Flight	0.01	± 0.003	Cross-section, acceptance modeling
Antiproton Induced	0.10	± 0.05	Cross-section, acceptance modeling
Beam electrons	0.0006	± 0.0003	Cross-section, acceptance (upper limit)
Radiative muon capture	< 2 x 10 ⁻⁶	_	Calculation
Total	0.41	± 0.08	Add in quadrature

9/1:

24

Predicted Sensitivity

μ _Mµ2e

e

Parameter	Value		
Running time @ 2×10^7 s/yr.	3 years		
Protons on target per year	1.2 x 10 ²⁰		
μ^- stops in stopping target per proton on target	0.0016		
µ [−] capture probability	0.609		
Fraction of muon captures in live time window	0.9		
Electron Trigger, Selection, and Fitting Efficiency in Live Window	0.10		
Single-event sensitivity with Current Algorithms	6.0×10^{-17}		
For a 3 year run			
$B(\mu^{-} + Al \rightarrow e^{-} + Al) = \frac{1}{1.2 \times 10^{17} \times 0.5 \times 3} = 6.0 \times 10^{-17}$			

Schedule

cLFV

- Muons are long-standing tools for precision test in particle physics.
- Expect new physics beyond the SM to appear at TeV scale.
- Conservation of cLFV is a puzzle, and flavor is not necessarily conserved
- cLFV studies may reveal hidden flavor symmetries and BSW in TeV-scale physics.
- Even if new particles are seen, cLFV can help define the physics
- Results have cosmological implications

"I Dwell in Possibility" is a title of a poem by Emily Dickinson.

While the poem was not written to address Subatomic Physics it is a fantastic title and expresses how I feel about the present status of Particle Physics.

"I dwell in possibilities"

We dwell in possibilities far beyond our imaginations Offering exciting mysteries to explore from the very small to the very large, from the present epoch to the dawn of creation. MU2

Backup Slides

The Standard Model of Particle Physics

$\begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{bmatrix}$

Beyond Standard Model Physics

Flavor Changing Neutral Current

- Mediated by massive neutral Boson, e.g.
 - Leptoquark
 - Z'
 - Composite
- · Approximated by "four fermi interaction"

Dipole (penguin)

Can involve a real photon

Or a virtual photon

Mu2e

Many Other Ways to Justify a cLFV Search (Connecting the very large to the very small)

Mu2e

One of the more recent advances in physics has been the connection of microscopic particle theory to macroscopic cosmology. *e.g.* Baryogenesis, and exploring the Higgs field PRL109(12)051302

Constraints on the flavor-violating Yukawa couplings $|Ye\mu|$, $|Y\mu e|$ for a 125 GeV Higgs boson [JHEP 3(13)26]. The diagonal Yukawa couplings are approximated by their SM values. Thin blue dashed lines are contours of constant BR for $h \rightarrow \mu e$, whereas thick blue lines are the current LHC limits.

1 FIT = 1 failure in 10⁹ hrs \rightarrow 2.4 x 10⁻⁸ failure/day

Neutron Background at the standard NYC latitude 40.7⁰ N (Sea-Level)→ 14 n/hr/cm² → 3.9 x10⁻³ n/s/cm²

Component	Neutron Flux (stopping Target)	Effect (Requires Solid Angle)
ROC FPGA	~2.3 x 10 ¹⁰ n/s ~5 x 10 ¹⁷ n/yr	0.01 SEU/Day 3.6 - SEU/Day for 300 FPGA
SiPM (CRV)		<1x10 ⁹ n/cm ²
Calorimeter (Crystals)		<3 x 10 ⁹ n/cm ²

Memory and other electronics not included in above. DRAM memory is used and Firmware programming can mitigate SEU problems.

Mu2e

9/12/16

cLFV

Data Rates

Triggerless DAQ

The total DAQ data rate is estimated at ~30 GBytes/sec

Tracker 22 GBytes/sec (Rates are high - 300 Mbyte/s x 218 controllers Pattern recognition is compliated)

Calorimeter

5 GBytes/sec

CRV

3 GBytes/sec

Extinction Mon, etc

1 GByte/sec

At 155K μ Bunches/sec, the average μ Bunch size is estimated at 200 KBytes (~140 KBytes from Tracker).

Energy Resolution

Reconstructed event simulation

RMS = 0.38 MeV

Tracking; Full events; Kalman Filter reconstruction;

Full Variance

Field Gradient

Remove magnetic traps Push particles down stream

Positive gradient traps pions in a magnetic bottle which decay to muons during the "Detector Active" time window – Background !!!

Trap Simulations show this is a potentially serious issue (particularly at solenoid boundaries) and care has been taken to remove traps using a field gradient