

$N(1400)\mbox{, }N(1535)$ and Pentaquark States

Yupeng Yan

School of Physics, Institute of Science, Suranaree University of Technology Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand

Working Group: Kai Xu, Narongrit Ritjoho, Sorakrai Srisubphaphon, Ayut Limphirat, Khanchai Khosonthongkee

A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



Constituent Quark Models

${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ Estimation of Ground State $q^4 \bar{q}$ Messes

${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ Construction of $q^4 \bar{q}$ States

Two Main Constituent Quark Models

• Hamiltonian for a N-quark system:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + \frac{1}{2}C \sum_{i(1)$$

• One-gluon-exchange H_{hyp} :

$$H_{hyp}^{OGE} = -C_G \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i^C \cdot \lambda_j^C \,\vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j \tag{2}$$

• Goldstone-boson-exchange H_{hyp} :

$$H_{hyp}^{GBE} = -C_M \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i^F \cdot \lambda_j^F \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j \tag{3}$$

Image: A mathematic states and a mathematic states



• The N(938) and $\Delta(1232)$ masses are estimated,

$$M_{\Delta} = 3m_q + \Delta m(\Delta) = 3m_q + 8C_G,$$

$$M_N = 3m_q + \Delta m(N) = 3m_q - 8C_G$$

• Let $M_N = 939~{
m MeV}$ and $M_\Delta = 1232~{
m MeV}$, one gets,

 $m_q \approx 360 \text{ MeV}, \quad C_G \approx 18 \text{ MeV}$

• The model reproduces well the mass of the ground state octet and decuplet baryons but fails to give a right order of $N(1440),\,N(1520)$ and N(1535).

Here we have used

$$\begin{split} \Delta m(q^3) &= \langle \psi(q^3) | H_{hyp}^{OGE}(q^3) | \psi(q^3) \rangle, \\ \langle \psi(q^3)_{color} | \lambda_i^C \cdot \lambda_j^C | \psi(q^3)_{color} \rangle &= -8/3, \\ \sum_{i < j} \langle \psi(q^3)_{spin} | \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j | \psi(q^3)_{spin} \rangle &= 3 \ (-3), \ \text{for } S = 3/2 \ (1/2) \end{split}$$

Physics (SUT)



 \bullet The N(938) and $\Delta(1232)$ masses are estimated,

$$M_{\Delta} = 3m_q + \Delta m(\Delta) = 3m_q - 4C_M,$$

$$M_N = 3m_q + \Delta m(N) = 3m_q - 14C_M$$

• Fitting to $M_N = 939$ MeV and $M_\Delta = 1232$ MeV leads to,

$$m_q \approx 450 \text{ MeV}, \quad C_M \approx 30 \text{ MeV}$$

- The model can bring the first excited (L = 1) band of pentaquarks below the ground state (L = 0) band;
- The first excited state (L=1) of the symmetry $[4]_{FS}[22]_F[22]_S$ is the lowest pentaquark, $M\sim 1400$ MeV;
- \bullet It is claimed that the $[4]_{FS}[22]_F[22]_S$ pentaquark state may be the Roper resonance.

- isture the Peper $N_{\rm eq}$ (1440) usually gets a
- In the traditional q^3 picture, the Roper $N_{1/2+}(1440)$ usually gets a mass $\sim 100~{\rm MeV}$ above the $N_{1/2-}(1535),$ but not 100 MeV below it.
- ${\, \bullet \, }$ Roper resonance is usually blamed sitting at a wrong place or intruding the q^3 spectrum.
- It has been studied in any possible picture: normal q^3 first radial excitation, $q^4\bar{q}$ pentaquark, q^3g hybrid, $q^3(q\bar{q})$ resonance...
- Still an open question.

$N_{1/2+}(1440)$



Helicity amplitudes for the $\gamma^* p \rightarrow N(1440)$ transition. The thick curves correspond to quark models assuming that N(1440) is a q^3 first radial excitation: dashed (Capstick and Keister, 1995), solid (Aznauryan, 2007). The thin dashed curves are obtained assuming that N(1440) is a q^3g hybrid state (Li et al., 1992). Figure courtesy to Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1095.



• The sign change in the helicity amplitude as a function of Q^2 suggests a node in the wave function and thus a radially excited state.

Physics (SUT)

- This resonance is observed at a mass expected in quark models
- Large couplings to the $N\eta,~N\eta',~N\phi$ and $K\Lambda$ but small couplings to the $N\pi$ and $K\Sigma$ are claimed.
- A large $N\eta$ coupling invites speculation that it might be created dynamically as $N\eta \Sigma K$ coupled channel effect.
- A large $N\phi$ coupling leads to the proposal that the $N_{1/2-}(1535)$ may have a large component of $uuds\bar{s}$ pentaquark states.

Estimations of ground state pentaquark masses in OGE



Configurations	Spin (or J)	$\Delta m(q^4\overline{q})$	$M(q^4\overline{q})~({\sf MeV})$
$\Psi^{sf}_{[31]_{FS}[211]_F[22]_S}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	-288	1760
$\Psi^{sf}_{[31]_{FS}[211]_F[31]_S}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$	-480, -120	1570, 1930
$\Psi^{sf}_{[31]_{FS}[22]_F[31]_S}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$	-336, 24	1710, 2070
$\Psi^{sf}_{[31]_{FS}[31]_F[22]_S}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	-48	2000
$\Psi^{sf}_{[31]_{FS}[31]_F[31]_S}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$	64, 24	2110, 2070
$\Psi^{sf}_{[31]_{FS}[31]_F[4]_S}$	$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$	48, 240	2100, 2290
$\Psi^{sf}_{[31]_{FS}[4]_F[31]_S}$	$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$	528, 240	2580, 2290

Physics (SUT)

2

A B + A
 B + A
 B
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



Remarks

- \bullet The OGE model can give a J=1/2, negative parity pentaquark with a mass $\sim 1500~{\rm MeV}.$
- \bullet The GBE model can give a J=1/2, positive parity pentaquark with a mass $\sim 1440~{\rm MeV}.$
- How about OGE plus GBE interactions
- How to accommodate/ignore other pentaquark states of a large number.



- OGE preliminary calculations indicate that N(1440) might be mainly the q^3 first radial excitation, N(1535) with a large $q^4\bar{q}$ component, and N(1520) with a sizeable $q^4\bar{q}$ component.
- We aim at studying the whole baryon mass spectrum in steps, assuming that baryons consist of the q^3 as well as $q^4\bar{q}$ pentaquark components.
 - 1. Construct pentaquark wave functions to high-order excitations.
 - 2. Study baryon spectra with the Gaussian-form confinement potential plus OGE and/or GBE interactions
 - 3. Study baryon spectra with more realistic confinement potentials plus OGE and/or GBE interactions

A Potential



A qq interaction extracted by fitting the theoretical results in the chiral perturbative quark model to experimental data of electromagnetic and axial form factors of octet baryons



Physics (SUT)

 $q^4 \overline{q}$ pentaquark

• That the pentaquark should be a color singlet demands that the color part of the pentaquark wave function must be a $[222]_1$ singlet.

$$\psi^c_{[222]}(q^4\overline{q}) =$$
(5)

 $\bullet\,$ The color part of the antiquark in pentaquark states is a $[11]_3$ antitriplet

$$\psi_{[11]}^c(\overline{q}) = -$$

 $\bullet\,$ The color wave function of the four-quark configuration must be a $[211]_3\,$ triplet

$$\psi_{[211]_{\lambda}}^{c}(q^{4}) = \boxed{\begin{array}{c}1 & 2\\3\\4\end{array}} \quad \psi_{[211]_{\rho}}^{c}(q^{4}) = \boxed{\begin{array}{c}1 & 3\\2\\4\end{array}} \quad \psi_{[211]_{\eta}}^{c}(q^{4}) = \boxed{\begin{array}{c}1 & 4\\2\\3\end{array}} \quad (7)$$
Physics (SUT) $q^{4}\overline{q} \text{ pentaguark} \quad \text{Halndorf, April 7, 2014} \quad 13/31$



 q^4 color wave functions can be derived by applying the λ -, ρ - and η -type projection operators of the $S_4~IR[211]$ in Yamanouchi basis,

$$\begin{split} P_{[211]_{\lambda}}(RRGB) &\Longrightarrow \psi^{c}_{[211]_{\lambda}}(R) \\ P_{[211]_{\rho}}(RGRB) &\Longrightarrow \psi^{c}_{[211]_{\rho}}(R) \\ P_{[211]_{\eta}}(BRGB) &\Longrightarrow \psi^{c}_{[211]_{\eta}}(R) \end{split}$$

$$\psi_{[211]_{\eta}}^{c}(R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (|BRGR\rangle + |RGBR\rangle + |GBRR\rangle -|RBGR\rangle - |GRBR\rangle - |BGRR\rangle)$$

The singlet color wave function $\Psi_{[211]_j}^c \ (j=\lambda,\rho,\eta)$ of pentaquarks is given by

$$\Psi_{[211]_j}^c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\psi_{[211]_j}^c(R) \,\bar{R} + \psi_{[211]_j}^c(G) \,\bar{G} + \psi_{[211]_j}^c(B) \,\bar{B} \right]. \tag{8}$$

Image: A math a math



- To form a fully antisymmetric q^4 wave function, the spatial-spin-flavor part must be [31].
- ${\, \bullet \,}$ The total wave function of q^4 systems may be written in the general form,

$$\psi = \sum_{i,j=\lambda,\rho,\eta} a_{ij} \ \psi^{c}_{[211]_{i}} \psi^{\text{osf}}_{[31]_{j}} \tag{9}$$

• The coefficients of the antisymmetric ψ can be determined by applying the Yamanouchi-basis representations of the S_4 to the general form. One gets

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\psi_{[211]_{\lambda}}^{c} \psi_{[31]_{\rho}}^{osf} - \psi_{[211]_{\rho}}^{c} \psi_{[31]_{\lambda}}^{osf} + \psi_{[211]_{\eta}}^{c} \psi_{[31]_{\eta}}^{osf} \right)$$
(10)

• In the same way we can write

$$\Psi_{[31]}^{osf} = \sum_{i,j=S,A,\lambda,\rho,\eta} a_{ij} \Psi_{[X]_i}^o \Psi_{[Y]_j}^{sf}$$
(11)

$$\Psi_{[Z]}^{sf} = \sum_{i,j=S,A,\lambda,\rho,\eta} a_{ij} \Phi_{[X]_i}^f \chi_{[Y]_j}^s$$
(12)

Image: A mathematic states and a mathematic states

- The possible spatial-spin-flavor and spin-flavor configurations and explicit forms of the wave functions are determined by applying the S_4 representations in Yamanouchi basis.
- Spatial-spin-flavor configurations:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} [31]_{OSF} \\ \hline [4]_O & [31]_{SF} \\ [1111]_O & [211]_{SF} \\ [22]_O & [31]_{SF}, [211]_{SF} \\ [211]_O & [31]_{SF}, [211]_{SF}, [22]_{SF} \\ [31]_O & [4]_{SF}, [31]_{SF}, [211]_{SF}, [22]_{SF} \end{array}$$

q^4 Spin-Flavor States



	$[4]_{FS}$		
$[4]_{FS}[22]_{F}[22]_{S}$	$[4]_{FS}[31]_{F}[31]_{S}$	$[4]_{FS}[4]_F[4]_S$	
	$[31]_{FS}$		
$[31]_{FS}[31]_F[22]_S$	$[31]_{FS}[31]_F[31]_S$	$[31]_{FS}[31]_F[4]_S$	$[31]_{FS}[211]_F[22]_S$
$[31]_{FS}[211]_F[31]_S$	$[31]_{FS}[22]_F[31]_S$	$[31]_{FS}[4]_F[31]_S$	
	$[22]_{FS}$		
$[22]_{FS}[22]_F[22]_S$	$[22]_{FS}[22]_F[4]_S$	$[22]_{FS}[4]_F[22]_S$	$[22]_{FS}[211]_F[31]_S$
$[22]_{FS}[31]_F[31]_S$			
	$[211]_{FS}$		
$[211]_{FS}[211]_F[22]_S$	$[211]_{FS}[211]_F[31]_S$	$[211]_{FS}[211]_F[4]_S$	$[211]_{FS}[22]_F[31]_S$
$[211]_{FS}[31]_F[22]_S$	$[211]_{FS}[31]_F[31]_S$		
(日)(四)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)(王)			

• Taking $[31]_{FS}[22]_F[31]_S$ configuration as an example, the spin-flavor wave functions of various permutation symmetries take a general form,

$$\psi^{\mathrm{sf}} = \sum_{i=\lambda,\rho} \sum_{j=\lambda,\rho,\eta} a_{ij} \,\phi_{[22]_i} \chi_{[31]_j}$$

• a_{ij} can be determined by acting the permutations of S_4 on the general form. The spin-flavor wave functions for the q^4 cluster are derived as,

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\mathrm{sf}}_{[31]_{\rho}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\phi_{[22]_{\rho}}\chi_{[31]_{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{[22]_{\lambda}}\chi_{[31]_{\rho}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_{[22]_{\rho}}\chi_{[31]_{\eta}} \\ \psi^{\mathrm{sf}}_{[31]_{\lambda}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\phi_{[22]_{\rho}}\chi_{[31]_{\rho}} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{[22]_{\lambda}}\chi_{[31]_{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_{[22]_{\lambda}}\chi_{[31]_{\eta}} \\ \psi^{\mathrm{sf}}_{[31]_{\eta}} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_{[22]_{\rho}}\chi_{[31]_{\rho}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_{[22]_{\lambda}}\chi_{[31]_{\lambda}} \end{split}$$

Image: A mathematic states and a mathematic states





$$P_{\rho}(udud) \implies \phi_{[22]_{\rho}} = \frac{1}{2}(dudu - duud + udud - uddu)$$
(13)

$$P_{\lambda}(uudd) \implies \phi_{[22]_{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(duud + udud - 2uudd + uddu + dudu - 2dduu)$$
(14)

• With $I = I_3 = 0$

$$\Phi_{[22]_{\rho}} = \phi_{[22]_{\rho}} \bar{s}, \quad \Phi_{[22]_{\lambda}} = \phi_{[22]_{\lambda}} \bar{s}. \tag{15}$$

A B > A
 A
 B > A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

• The flavor states with other values of isospin (I, I_3) and strangeness S can be derived the same way.

Spin Wave Functions

• Operate $P_{\lambda,\rho,\eta}$ on any q^4 spin state, for example, the state spin=1

$$\begin{split} P_{[31]_{\eta}}(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow) &\implies \chi_{[31]_{\eta}}(1,1) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \mid 3\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow - \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - \uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \\ P_{[31]_{\rho}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow) &\implies \chi_{[31]_{\rho}}(1,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mid \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\ P_{[31]_{\lambda}}(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow) &\implies \chi_{[31]_{\lambda}}(1,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \mid 2\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow - \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \end{split}$$

• Combine the spin wave functions above with the one of the antiquark, the total spin wave function of the pentaquark states is

$$\chi(q^{4}\bar{s})_{[31]_{\alpha}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \chi_{[31]_{\alpha}}(s_{q^{4}} = 1, m_{q^{4}} = 1)\chi_{\bar{s}}(-1/2) -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \chi_{[31]_{\alpha}}(s_{q^{4}} = 1, m_{q^{4}} = 0)\chi_{\bar{s}}(1/2)$$
(16)

with α = ρ, λ, η. The states with other values of the projection m_s can be obtained the same way.

Physics (SUT)



A complete basis of certain symmetry may be constructed with pentaquark systems in the harmonic oscillator interaction,

$$H = \frac{p_{\lambda}^2}{2m} + \frac{p_{\rho}^2}{2m} + \frac{p_{\eta}^2}{2m} + \frac{p_{\xi}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}C\left(\lambda^2 + \rho^2 + \eta^2 + \xi^2\right)$$
(17)

where

$$\vec{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})$$

$$\vec{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\vec{r_1} + \vec{r_2} - 2\vec{r_3})$$

$$\vec{\eta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}(\vec{r_1} + \vec{r_2} + \vec{r_3} - 3\vec{r_4})$$

$$\vec{\xi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}(\vec{r_1} + \vec{r_2} + \vec{r_3} + \vec{r_4} - 4\vec{r_5})$$
(18)

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

Spatial wave functions take the general form,

$$\Psi_{NLM}^{o} = \sum_{\substack{n_{\lambda}, n_{\rho}, n_{\eta}, n_{\xi}, l_{\lambda}, l_{\rho}, l_{\eta}, l_{\xi}}} A(n_{\lambda}, n_{\rho}, n_{\eta}, n_{\xi}, l_{\lambda}, l_{\rho}, l_{\eta}, l_{\xi})} \\ \cdot \Psi_{n_{\lambda} l_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}}(\vec{\lambda}) \Psi_{n_{\rho} l_{\rho} m_{\rho}}(\vec{\rho}) \Psi_{n_{\eta} l_{\eta} m_{\eta}}(\vec{\eta}) \Psi_{n_{\xi} l_{\xi} m_{\xi}}(\vec{\xi}) \\ \cdot C(l_{\lambda}, l_{\rho}, m_{\lambda}, m_{\rho}, l_{\lambda\rho}, m_{\lambda\rho}) \\ \cdot C(l_{\lambda\rho\eta}, l_{\eta}, m_{\lambda\rho}, m_{\eta}, l_{\lambda\rho\eta}, m_{\lambda\rho\eta}) \\ \cdot C(l_{\lambda\rho\eta}, l_{\xi}, m_{\lambda\rho\eta}, m_{\xi}, LM)$$
(19)

with $N=2(n_{\lambda}+n_{\rho}+n_{\eta}+n_{\xi})+l_{\lambda}+l_{\rho}+l_{\eta}+l_{\xi}$

• The coefficients A are determined by applying the Yamanouchi basis representations of the S_4 . Various types of spatial wave functions with the [4], [31], [22], [211] and [1111] symmetries are easily worked out.



Pentaquark Spatial Wave Function, NLM = 322

• Symmetric:

$$\Psi^{S} = \frac{1}{3} \left[-\Psi_{021}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{011}(\xi) + \sqrt{2}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{010}(\xi) \right. \\ \left. -\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{021}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{011}(\xi) + \sqrt{2}\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{010}(\xi) \right. \\ \left. -\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{021}(\eta)\Psi_{011}(\xi) + \sqrt{2}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{022}(\eta)\Psi_{010}(\xi) \right]$$

- Antisymmetric: Non
- λ and ρ types of [22]: Non
- $\lambda,\,\rho$ and η types of [211]: Non

A ID > A ID > A



Pentaquark Spatial Wave Function, NLM = 322

First Set of λ , ρ and η types of [31]:

$$\Psi^{\lambda[31]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [\sqrt{2} \Psi_{010}(\lambda) \Psi_{022}(\rho) \Psi_{000}(\eta) \Psi_{000}(\xi) - \Psi_{011}(\lambda) \Psi_{021}(\rho) \Psi_{000}(\eta) \Psi_{000}(\xi) + \sqrt{2} \Psi_{010}(\lambda) \Psi_{000}(\rho) \Psi_{022}(\eta) \Psi_{000}(\xi) - \Psi_{011}(\lambda) \Psi_{000}(\rho) \Psi_{021}(\eta) \Psi_{000}(\xi)]$$

$$\Psi^{\rho[31]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [\sqrt{2} \Psi_{022}(\lambda) \Psi_{010}(\rho) \Psi_{000}(\eta) \Psi_{000}(\xi) - \Psi_{021}(\lambda) \Psi_{011}(\rho) \Psi_{000}(\eta) \Psi_{000}(\xi) + \sqrt{2} \Psi_{000}(\lambda) \Psi_{010}(\rho) \Psi_{022}(\eta) \Psi_{000}(\xi) - \Psi_{000}(\lambda) \Psi_{011}(\rho) \Psi_{021}(\eta) \Psi_{000}(\xi)]$$

$$\Psi^{\eta[31]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [\sqrt{2}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{010}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) - \Psi_{021}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{011}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi)]$$

A B + A
 B + A
 B
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



Pentaquark Spatial Wave Function, NLM = 322

Second Set of $\lambda,~\rho$ and η types of [31]:

$$\Psi^{\lambda[31]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\sqrt{2} \Psi_{010}(\lambda) \Psi_{000}(\rho) \Psi_{000}(\eta) \Psi_{022}(\xi) - \Psi_{011}(\lambda) \Psi_{000}(\rho) \Psi_{000}(\eta) \Psi_{021}(\xi) \right]$$

$$\Psi^{\rho[31]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\sqrt{2} \Psi_{000}(\lambda) \Psi_{010}(\rho) \Psi_{000}(\eta) \Psi_{022}(\xi) - \Psi_{000}(\lambda) \Psi_{011}(\rho) \Psi_{000}(\eta) \Psi_{021}(\xi) \right]$$

$$\Psi^{\eta[31]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\sqrt{2} \Psi_{000}(\lambda) \Psi_{000}(\rho) \Psi_{010}(\eta) \Psi_{022}(\xi) - \Psi_{000}(\lambda) \Psi_{000}(\rho) \Psi_{011}(\eta) \Psi_{021}(\xi) \right]$$

2

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{S_{1}} &= \Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{044}(\xi) \\ \Psi^{S_{2}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{022}(\xi) + \Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{022}(\xi) \\ &+ \Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{022}(\eta)\Psi_{022}(\xi)] \\ \Psi^{S_{3}} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{17}} [\Psi_{033}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{011}(\xi) - \sqrt{7}\Psi_{011}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{011}(\xi) \\ &+ \sqrt{2}\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{033}(\eta)\Psi_{011}(\xi) - \sqrt{\frac{7}{2}}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{011}(\eta)\Psi_{011}(\xi) \\ &- \sqrt{\frac{7}{2}}\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{011}(\eta)\Psi_{011}(\xi)] \\ \Psi^{S_{4}} &= \sqrt{\frac{5}{57}} [\Psi_{044}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) + \Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{044}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) \\ &+ \Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{044}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) + \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{022}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) + \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{022}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi)] \end{split}$$



Thank you for your patience!

Hahndorf, April 7, 2014 27 / 30

A B + A B + A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Pentaquark Spatial Wave Function, Symmetric NLM = 444

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{S_4} &= \sqrt{\frac{5}{57}} [\Psi_{044}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) + \Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{044}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) \\ &+ \Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{044}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) + \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{000}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{022}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) + \sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{022}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi)] \\ \Psi^{S_5} &= \sqrt{\frac{120}{1217}} [\Psi_{033}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{011}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) - \sqrt{7}\Psi_{011}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{011}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) \\ &- \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{044}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) + \sqrt{\frac{21}{20}}\Psi_{022}(\lambda)\Psi_{000}(\rho)\Psi_{022}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{21}{20}}\Psi_{000}(\lambda)\Psi_{022}(\rho)\Psi_{022}(\eta)\Psi_{000}(\xi)] \end{split}$$

2

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・



Applying the permutation (34) of S_4 , we have

$$(34)\psi = -a_{\lambda\rho}\psi^{c}_{[211]_{\lambda}}\psi^{osf}_{[31]_{\rho}} + a_{\rho\lambda}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\psi^{c}_{[211]_{\rho}} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\psi^{c}_{[211]_{\eta}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}\psi^{osf}_{[31]_{\lambda}} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\psi^{osf}_{[31]_{\eta}}\right) + a_{\eta\eta}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\psi^{c}_{[211]_{\rho}} + \frac{1}{3}\psi^{c}_{[211]_{\eta}}\right)\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\psi^{osf}_{[31]_{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{3}\psi^{osf}_{[31]_{\eta}}\right).$$
(21)

An antisymmetric ψ demands

 $a_{\rho\lambda} = -a_{\eta\eta}$

Here we have used the [31] and [211] representation matrices for the permutation (34) of the $S_4,$

$$D^{[31]}(34) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 0 & 2\sqrt{2}/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2\sqrt{2}/3 & 0 & -1/3 \end{pmatrix}, D^{[211]}(34) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 & 2\sqrt{2}/3 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{2}/3 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$$



• Applying the permutation (12) or (23) of the S_4 leads to

$$a_{\rho\lambda} = -a_{\lambda\rho} \tag{22}$$

 \bullet Finally, we derive a fully antisymmetric wave function for the q^4 configuration

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\psi^c_{[211]_\lambda} \psi^{osf}_{[31]_\rho} - \psi^c_{[211]_\rho} \psi^{osf}_{[31]_\lambda} + \psi^c_{[211]_\eta} \psi^{osf}_{[31]_\eta} \right)$$
(23)

In general we can write

$$\Psi_{[31]}^{osf} = \sum_{i,j=S,A,\lambda,\rho,\eta} a_{ij} \Psi_{[X]_i}^o \Psi_{[Y]_j}^{sf}$$
(24)

• The possible configurations of spatial-spin-flavor wave functions are shown in the following table:

