Transverse (Spin) Structure of Hadrons

Matthias Burkardt

burkardt@nmsu.edu

New Mexico State University

Outline



- Probabilistic interpretation of GPDs as Fourier trafos of impact parameter dependent PDFs
  - $H(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2) \longrightarrow q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp)$
  - $\tilde{H}(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2) \longrightarrow \Delta q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp)$
  - $E(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2) \longrightarrow$ $\perp$ distortion of PDFs when the target is $\perp$ polarized



- Chromodynamik lensing and $\perp$ SSAs

$$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text{transverse distortion of PDFs} \\ +\ \text{final state interactions}\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow\ \perp \text{SSA in}\ \ \gamma N \longrightarrow \pi + X$$



- $g_2(x) \leftrightarrow$ transverse force on quarks in DIS
- transversity distribution in an unpolarized target
- Summary

Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs)

- GPDs: **decomposition of form factors** at a given value of t, w.r.t. the average momentum fraction $x = \frac{1}{2}(x_i + x_f)$ of the active quark

$$\int dx H_q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t) \qquad \int dx \tilde{H}_q(x,\xi,t) = G_A^q(t)$$
$$\int dx E_q(x,\xi,t) = F_2^q(t) \qquad \int dx \tilde{E}_q(x,\xi,t) = G_P^q(t),$$

  - x_i and x_f are the momentum fractions of the quark before and after the momentum transfer
  - $2\xi = x_f - x_i$
- GPDs can be probed in deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS)



Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs)

- formal definition (unpol. quarks):

$$\int \frac{dx^-}{2\pi} e^{ix^- \bar{p}^+ x} \left\langle p' \left| \bar{q}\left(-\frac{x^-}{2}\right) \gamma^+ q\left(\frac{x^-}{2}\right) \right| p \right\rangle \;=\; H(x,\xi,\Delta^2)\bar{u}(p')\gamma^+ u(p)$$

$$+E(x,\xi,\Delta^2)\bar{u}(p')\frac{i\sigma^{+\nu}\Delta_\nu}{2M}u(p)$$

- in the limit of vanishing t and ξ, the nucleon non-helicity-flip GPDs must reduce to the ordinary PDFs:

$$H_q(x,0,0) = q(x) \qquad \tilde{H}_q(x,0,0) = \Delta q(x).$$

- DVCS amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}(\xi,t) \sim \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx}{x-\xi+i\varepsilon} GPD(x,\xi,t)$$

Form Factors vs. GPDs

operator	forward matrix elem.	off-forward matrix elem.	position space
$\bar{q}\gamma^+ q$	Q	$F(t)$	$\rho(\vec{r})$
$\int \frac{dx^- e^{ixp^+x^-}}{4\pi} \bar{q}\left(\frac{-x^-}{2}\right) \gamma^+ q\left(\frac{x^-}{2}\right)$	$q(x)$	$H(x,\xi,t)$	?

Form Factors vs. GPDs

operator	forward matrix elem.	off-forward matrix elem.	position space
$\bar{q}\gamma^+ q$	Q	$F(t)$	$\rho(\vec{r})$
$\int \frac{dx^- e^{ixp^+x^-}}{4\pi} \bar{q}\left(\frac{-x^-}{2}\right)\gamma^+ q\left(\frac{x^-}{2}\right)$	$q(x)$	$H(x,0,t)$	$q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp)$

$q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp)$ = impact parameter dependent PDF

Impact parameter dependent PDFs

- define $\perp$ localized state [D.Soper,PRD**15**, 1141 (1977)]

$$\left|p^+,\mathbf{R}_\perp=\mathbf{0}_\perp,\lambda\right\rangle \equiv \mathcal{N}\int d^2\mathbf{p}_\perp \left|p^+,\mathbf{p}_\perp,\lambda\right\rangle$$

Note: $\perp$ boosts in IMF form Galilean subgroup $\Rightarrow$ this state has
$\mathbf{R}_\perp \equiv \frac{1}{P^+}\int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp\, \mathbf{x}_\perp T^{++}(x) = \sum_i x_i \mathbf{r}_{i,\perp} = \mathbf{0}_\perp$
(cf.: working in CM frame in nonrel. physics)

- define impact parameter dependent PDF

$$q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp) \equiv \int \frac{dx^-}{4\pi}\left\langle p^+,\mathbf{R}_\perp=\mathbf{0}_\perp\right|\bar{q}(-\frac{x^-}{2},\mathbf{b}_\perp)\gamma^+ q(\frac{x^-}{2},\mathbf{b}_\perp)\left|p^+,\mathbf{R}_\perp=\mathbf{0}_\perp\right\rangle e^{ixp^+x^-}$$

$\hookrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp) &= \int \frac{d^2\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{b}_\perp} H(x,0,-\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp^2), \\ \Delta q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp) &= \int \frac{d^2\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{b}_\perp} \tilde{H}(x,0,-\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp^2), \end{aligned}$$

Impact parameter dependent PDFs

- No relativistic corrections (Galilean subgroup!)

↪ corrolary: interpretation of 2d-FT of $F_1(Q^2)$ as charge density in transverse plane also free from relativistic corrections

- $q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp)$ has probabilistic interpretation as number density ($\Delta q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp)$ as difference of number densities)
- Reference point for IPDs is transverse center of (longitudinal) momentum $\mathbf{R}_\perp \equiv \sum_i x_i \mathbf{r}_{i,\perp}$

↪ for $x \to 1$, active quark 'becomes' COM, and $q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp)$ must become very narrow (δ-function like)

↪ $H(x, 0, -\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp^2)$ must become $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp$ indep. as $x \to 1$ (MB, 2000)

↪ consistent with lattice results for first few moments

- Note that this does not necessarily imply that 'hadron size' goes to zero as $x \to 1$, as separation $\mathbf{r}_\perp$ between active quark and COM of spectators is related to impact parameter $\mathbf{b}_\perp$ via $\mathbf{r}_\perp = \frac{1}{1-x}\mathbf{b}_\perp$.

$q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp)$ for unpol. p





$x =$ momentum fraction of the quark

$\vec{b} = \perp$ position of the quark

Transversely Deformed Distributions and $E(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2)$

M.B., Int.J.Mod.Phys.A**18**, 173 (2003)

- So far: only unpolarized (or long. pol.) nucleon! In general ($\xi = 0$):

$$\int \frac{dx^-}{4\pi} e^{ip^+x^-x} \langle P{+}\Delta,\uparrow|\bar{q}(0)\,\gamma^+ q(x^-)|\,P,\uparrow\rangle = H(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2)$$

$$\int \frac{dx^-}{4\pi} e^{ip^+x^-x} \langle P{+}\Delta,\uparrow|\bar{q}(0)\,\gamma^+ q(x^-)|\,P,\downarrow\rangle = -\frac{\Delta_x - i\Delta_y}{2M} E(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2).$$

- Consider nucleon polarized in x direction (in IMF)
  $|X\rangle \equiv |p^+,\mathbf{R}_\perp = \mathbf{0}_\perp,\uparrow\rangle + |p^+,\mathbf{R}_\perp = \mathbf{0}_\perp,\downarrow\rangle$.

$\hookrightarrow$ unpolarized quark distribution for this state:

$$q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = \mathcal{H}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp) - \frac{1}{2M}\frac{\partial}{\partial b_y}\int \frac{d^2\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} E(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2) e^{-i\mathbf{b}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp}$$

- Physics: $j^+ = j^0 + j^3$, and left-right asymmetry from j^3 !
  [X.Ji, PRL **91**, 062001 (2003)]

Intuitive connection with $\vec{J}_q$

- DIS probes quark momentum density in the infinite momentum frame (IMF). Quark density in IMF corresponds to $j^+ = j^0 + j^3$ component in rest frame ($\vec{p}_{\gamma^*}$ in $-\hat{z}$ direction)

$\hookrightarrow$ j^+ larger than j^0 when quark current towards the γ^*; suppressed when away from γ^*

$\hookrightarrow$ For quarks with positive orbital angular momentum in $\hat{x}$-direction, j^z is positive on the $+\hat{y}$ side, and negative on the $-\hat{y}$ side



- Details of $\perp$ deformation described by $E_q(x, 0, -\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2)$

$\hookrightarrow$ not surprising that $E_q(x, 0, -\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2)$ enters Ji relation!

$$\langle J_q^i \rangle = S^i \int dx \left[H_q(x,0,0) + E_q(x,0,0) \right] x.$$

Transversely Deformed PDFs and $E(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2)$

- $q(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp)$ in $\perp$ polarized nucleon is deformed compared to longitudinally polarized nucleons !
- mean $\perp$ deformation of flavor q ($\perp$ flavor dipole moment)

$$d_y^q \equiv \int dx \int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp q_X(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp) b_y = \frac{1}{2M}\int dx E_q(x,0,0) = \frac{\kappa_q^p}{2M}$$

with $\kappa_{u/d}^p \equiv F_2^{u/d}(0) = \mathcal{O}(1-2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_y^q = \mathcal{O}(0.2fm)$

- simple model: for simplicity, make ansatz where $E_q \propto H_q$

$$\begin{aligned} E_u(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2) &= \frac{\kappa_u^p}{2} H_u(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2) \\ E_d(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2) &= \kappa_d^p H_d(x,0,-\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2) \end{aligned}$$

with $\kappa_u^p = 2\kappa_p + \kappa_n = 1.673 \qquad \kappa_d^p = 2\kappa_n + \kappa_p = -2.033.$

- Model too simple but illustrates that anticipated deformation is very significant since κ_u and κ_d known to be large!

p polarized in $+\hat{x}$ direction







lattice results (QCDSF)

GPD $\longleftrightarrow$ SSA (Sivers)

- example: $\gamma p \to \pi X$



- u, d distributions in $\perp$ polarized proton have left-right asymmetry in $\perp$ position space (T-even!); sign "determined" by κ_u & κ_d
-

  attractive FSI deflects active quark towards the center of momentum

$\hookrightarrow$ FSI translates position space distortion (before the quark is knocked out) in $+\hat{y}$-direction into momentum asymmetry that favors $-\hat{y}$ direction

$\hookrightarrow$ correlation between sign of κ_q^p and sign of SSA: $f_{1T}^{\perp q} \sim -\kappa_q^p$

- $f_{1T}^{\perp q} \sim -\kappa_q^p$ confirmed by HERMES data (also consistent with COMPASS deuteron data $f_{1T}^{\perp u} + f_{1T}^{\perp d} \approx 0$)

Quark-Gluon Correlations (Introduction)

- (longitudinally) polarized polarized DIS at leading twist $\longrightarrow$ 'polarized quark distribution' $g_1^q(x) = q^\uparrow(x) + \bar{q}^\uparrow(x) - q_\downarrow(x) - \bar{q}_\downarrow(x)$
- $\frac{1}{Q^2}$-corrections to X-section involve 'higher-twist' distribution functions, such as $g_2(x)$
- $g_2(x)$ involves quark-gluon correlations and does not have a parton interpretation as difference between number densities

Quark-Gluon Correlations (Introduction)

- (chirally even) higher-twist PDF $g_2(x) = g_T(x) - g_1(x)$

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \langle PS|\bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi(\lambda n)|_{Q^2}|PS\rangle$$
$$= 2\left[g_1(x,Q^2)p^\mu(S\cdot n) + g_T(x,Q^2)S_\perp^\mu + M^2 g_3(x,Q^2)n^\mu(S\cdot n)\right]$$

- ‘usually’, contribution from g_2 to polarized DIS X-section kinematically suppressed by $\frac{1}{\nu}$ compared to contribution from g_1

$$\sigma_{TT} \propto g_1 - \frac{2Mx}{\nu} g_2$$

- for $\perp$ polarized target, g_1 and g_2 contribute equally to σ_{LT}

$$\sigma_{LT} \propto g_T \equiv g_1 + g_2$$

$\hookrightarrow$ ‘clean’ separation between higher order corrections to leading twist (g_1) and higher twist effects (g_2)

- what can one learn from g_2?

Quark-Gluon Correlations (QCD analysis)

- (chirally even) higher-twist PDF $g_2(x) = g_T(x) - g_1(x)$

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \langle PS|\bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\psi(\lambda n)|_{Q^2}|PS\rangle$$
$$= 2\left[g_1(x,Q^2)p^\mu(S\cdot n) + g_T(x,Q^2)S_\perp^\mu + M^2 g_3(x,Q^2)n^\mu(S\cdot n)\right]$$

- $g_2(x) = g_2^{WW}(x) + \bar{g}_2(x)$, with $g_2^{WW}(x) \equiv -g_1(x) + \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} g_1(y)$
- $\bar{g}_2(x)$ involves quark-gluon correlations, e.g.

$$\int dx x^2 \bar{g}_2(x) = \frac{1}{3} d_2 = \frac{1}{6MP^{+2}S^x} \left\langle P,S \left| \bar{q}(0) g G^{+y}(0)\gamma^+ q(0) \right| P,S \right\rangle$$

- $\sqrt{2}G^{+y} \equiv G^{0y} + G^{zy} = -E^y + B^x$
- matrix elements of $\bar{q}B^x\gamma^+q$ and $\bar{q}E^y\gamma^+q$ are sometimes called color-electric and magnetic polarizabilities
$2M^2\vec{S}\chi_E = \left\langle P,S \left| \vec{j}_a \times \vec{E}_a \right| P,S \right\rangle$ & $2M^2\vec{S}\chi_B = \left\langle P,S \left| j_a^0 \vec{B}_a \right| P,S \right\rangle$
with $d_2 = \frac{1}{4}\left(\chi_E + 2\chi_M\right)$ — but these names are misleading!

Quark-Gluon Correlations (Interpretation)

- $\bar{g}_2(x)$ involves quark-gluon correlations, e.g.

$$\int dx x^2 \bar{g}_2(x) = \frac{1}{3} d_2 = \frac{1}{6MP^{+2}S^x} \left\langle P, S \left| \bar{q}(0) g G^{+y}(0) \gamma^+ q(0) \right| P, S \right\rangle$$

- QED: $\bar{q}(0)eF^{+y}(0)\gamma^+ q(0)$ correlator between quark density $\bar{q}\gamma^+ q$ and ($\hat{y}$-component of the) Lorentz-force

$$F^y = e\left[\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}\right]^y = e\left(E^y - B^x\right) = -e\left(F^{0y} + F^{zy}\right) = -e\sqrt{2}F^{+y}.$$

for charged paricle moving with $\vec{v} = (0, 0, -1)$ in the $-\hat{z}$ direction

$\hookrightarrow$ matrix element of $\bar{q}(0)eF^{+y}(0)\gamma^+ q(0)$ yields γ^+ density (density relevant for DIS in Bj limit!) weighted with the Lorentz force that a charged particle with $\vec{v} = (0, 0, -1)$ would experience at that point

$\hookrightarrow$ d_2 a measure for the **color Lorentz force** acting on the struck quark in SIDIS in the instant **after being hit by the virtual photon**

$$\langle F^y(0) \rangle = -M^2 d_2 \qquad \text{(rest frame; } S^x = 1\text{)}$$

Quark-Gluon Correlations (Interpretation)

- Interpretation of d_2 with the transverse FSI force in DIS also consistent with $\langle k_\perp^y \rangle \equiv \int_0^1 dx \int \mathrm{d}^2 k_\perp\, k_\perp^2 f_{1T}^\perp(x, k_\perp^2)$ in SIDIS (Qiu, Sterman)

$$\langle k_\perp^y \rangle = -\frac{1}{2p^+} \left\langle P, S \left| \bar{q}(0) \int_0^\infty dx^- g G^{+y}(x^-) \gamma^+ q(0) \right| P, S \right\rangle$$

  semi-classical interpretation: average $k_\perp$ in SIDIS obtained by correlating the quark density with the transverse impulse acquired from (color) Lorentz force acting on struck quark along its trajectory to (light-cone) infinity

- matrix element defining d_2 same as the integrand (for $x^- = 0$) in the QS-integral:
  - $\langle k_\perp^y \rangle = \int_0^\infty dt F^y(t)$ (use $\mathrm{d}x^- = \sqrt{2}\mathrm{d}t$)

  $\hookrightarrow$ first integration point $\longrightarrow F^y(0)$

  $\hookrightarrow$ (transverse) force at the begin of the trajectory, i.e. at the moment after absorbing the virtual photon

Quark-Gluon Correlations (Interpretation)

- x^2-moment of twist-4 polarized PDF $g_3(x)$

  $\int dx x^2 g_3(x) \rightsquigarrow \left\langle P,S \left| \bar{q}(0) g\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}(0)\gamma_\nu q(0) \right| P,S \right\rangle \sim f_2$

↪ different linear combination $f_2 = \chi_E - \chi_B$ of χ_E and χ_M

↪ combine with data for $g_2 \Rightarrow$ disentangle electric and magnetic force

↪ combining JLab(E99-117)/SLAC(E155x) data this yields

- proton:

  $\chi_E = -0.082 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.071 \quad \chi_B = 0.056 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.036$

- neutron:

  $\chi_E = 0.031 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.028 \quad \chi_B = 0.036 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.017$

but future higher-Q^2 data for d_2 may still change these results ...

Quark-Gluon Correlations (Estimates)

- What should one expect (magnitude)?
  - if all spectators were to pull in the same direction, force should be on the order of the QCD string tension $\sigma \approx (0.45GeV)^2 \approx 0.2GeV^2$
  - however, expect significant cancellation for FSI force, from spectators 'pulling' in different directions

  $\hookrightarrow$ expect FSI force to be suppressed compared to string tension by about one order of magnitude (more?)

  $\hookrightarrow$ $|d_2| = \frac{|\langle F^y(0)\rangle|}{M^2} \sim 0.02$
- What should one expect (sign)?
  - $\kappa_q^p \longrightarrow$ signs of deformation (u/d quarks in $\pm\hat{y}$ direction for proton polarized in $+\hat{x}$ direction $\longrightarrow$ expect force in $\mp\hat{y}$

  $\hookrightarrow$ d_2 positive/negative for u/d quarks in proton
  - d_2 negative/positive for u/d quarks in neutron
  - large N_C: $d_2^{u/p} = -d_2^{d/p}$
  - consistent with $f_{1T}^{\perp u} + f_{1T}^{\perp d} \approx 0$

Quark-Gluon Correlations (data/lattice)

- lattice (Göckeler et al.): $d_2^u \approx 0.010$ and $d_2^d \approx -0.0056$ (with large errors)

$\hookrightarrow$ using $M^2 \approx 5 \frac{\text{GeV}}{fm}$ this implies

$$\langle F_u^y(0) \rangle \approx -50 \frac{\text{MeV}}{fm} \qquad \langle F_d^y(0) \rangle \approx 28 \frac{\text{MeV}}{fm}$$

- signs consistent with impact parameter picture
- SLAC data ($5GeV^2$): $d_2^p = 0.007 \pm 0.004$, $d_2^n = 0.004 \pm 0.010$
- combined with SIDIS data for $\langle k^y \rangle$, should tell us about 'effective range' of FSI $R_{eff} \equiv \frac{\langle k^y \rangle}{F^y(0)}$
  Anselmino et al.: $\langle k^y \rangle \sim \pm 100\,\text{MeV}$
- x^2-moment of chirally odd twist-3 PDF $e(x) \longrightarrow$ **transverse force on transversly polarized quark in unpolarized target** ($\leftrightarrow$ Boer-Mulders $h_1^\perp$)

Chirally Odd GPDs

$$\int \frac{dx^-}{2\pi} e^{ixp^+x^-} \left\langle p' \left| \bar{q}\left(-\frac{x^-}{2}\right) \sigma^{+j}\gamma_5 q\left(\frac{x^-}{2}\right) \right| p \right\rangle = \quad H_T \bar{u}\sigma^{+j}\gamma_5 u + \tilde{H}_T \bar{u}\frac{\varepsilon^{+j\alpha\beta}\Delta_\alpha P_\beta}{M^2} u$$
$$+ E_T \bar{u}\frac{\varepsilon^{+j\alpha\beta}\Delta_\alpha \gamma_\beta}{2M} u + \tilde{E}_T \bar{u}\frac{\varepsilon^{+j\alpha\beta}P_\alpha \gamma_\beta}{M} u$$

- See also M.Diehl+P.Hägler, EPJ C44, 87 (2005).
- Fourier trafo of $\bar{E}_T^q \equiv 2\tilde{H}_T^q + E_T^q$ for $\xi = 0$ describes distribution of transversity for <u>un</u>polarized target in $\perp$ plane

$$q^i(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp) = \frac{\varepsilon^{ij}}{2M}\frac{\partial}{\partial b_j}\int \frac{d^2\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{b}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp} \bar{E}_T^q(x, 0, -\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2)$$

- origin: correlation between quark spin (i.e. transversity) and angular momentum

Transversity Distribution in Unpolarized Target



IPDs on the lattice (QCDSF)

- lowest moment of distribution of unpol. quarks in ⊥ pol. proton (left) and of ⊥ pol. quarks in unpol. proton (right):



Transversity Distribution in Unpolarized Target (sign)

- Consider quark polarized out of the plane in ground state hadron

$\hookrightarrow$ expect counterclockwise net current $\vec{j}$ associated with the magnetization density in this state



- virtual photon 'sees' enhancement of quarks (polarized out of plane) at the top, i.e.

$\hookrightarrow$ virtual photon 'sees' enhancement of quarks with polarization up (down) on the left (right) side of the hadron

$\hookrightarrow$ $\bar{E}_T > 0$

Transversity Distribution in Unpolarized Target (sign)

[M.B.+B.Hannafious, PLB 658, 1130 (2008)]

- matrix element for $\bar{E}_T$ involves quark helicity flip

$\hookrightarrow$ requires interference between wave function components that differ by one unit of OAM (e.g. s-p interference)

$\hookrightarrow$ sign of $\bar{E}_T$ depends on rel. sign between s & p components

- bag model: p-wave from lower component

$$\Psi_m = \begin{pmatrix} i f \chi_m \\ -g(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\vec{x}})\chi_m \end{pmatrix},$$

(relative sign from free Dirac equation $g = \frac{1}{E}\frac{d}{dr}f$)

- $\bar{E}_T \propto -f \cdot g$. Ground state: f peaked at $r = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{E}_T > 0$
- more general potential model: $\frac{1}{E} \rightarrow \frac{1}{E - V_0(r) + m + V_S(r)}$

$\hookrightarrow$ sign of $\bar{E}_T$ same as in Bag model!

Boer-Mulders Function

- SIDIS: attractive FSI expected to convert position space asymmetry into momentum space asymmetry

$\hookrightarrow$ e.g. quarks at negative b_x with spin in $+\hat{y}$ get deflected (due to FSI) into $+\hat{x}$ direction

$\hookrightarrow$ (qualitative) connection between Boer-Mulders function $h_1^\perp(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ and the chirally odd GPD $\bar{E}_T$ that is similar to (qualitative) connection between Sivers function $f_{1T}^\perp(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ and the GPD E.

- Boer-Mulders: distribution of $\perp$ **pol.** quarks in **unpol.** proton

$$f_{q^\uparrow/p}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = \frac{1}{2}\left[f_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2) - h_1^{\perp q}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)\frac{(\hat{\mathbf{P}} \times \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot S_q}{M}\right]$$

- $h_1^{\perp q}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)$ can be probed in DY (RHIC, J-PARC, GSI) and tagged SIDIS (JLab, eRHIC), using Collins-fragmentation

probing BM function in tagged SIDIS

- consider semi-inclusive pion production off unpolarized target
- spin-orbit correlations in target wave function provide correlation between (primordial) quark transversity and impact parameter

$\hookrightarrow$ (attractive) FSI provides correlation between quark spin and $\perp$ quark momentum $\Rightarrow$ BM function

- Collins effect: left-right asymmetry of π distribution in fragmentation of $\perp$ polarized quark $\Rightarrow$ 'tag' quark spin

$\hookrightarrow$ $\cos(2\phi)$ modulation of π distribution relative to lepton scattering plane

$\hookrightarrow$ $\cos(2\phi)$ asymmetry proportional to: Collins $\times$ BM

probing BM function in tagged SIDIS

Primordial Quark Transversity Distribution

→ $\perp$ quark pol.



$\perp$ polarization and γ^* absorption

- QED: when the γ^* scatters off $\perp$ polarized quark, the $\perp$ polarization gets modified
  - gets reduced in size
  - gets tilted symmetrically w.r.t. normal of the scattering plane



probing BM function in tagged SIDIS

Primordial Quark Transversity Distribution

$\longrightarrow$ $\perp$ quark pol.



probing BM function in tagged SIDIS

Quark Transversity Distribution after γ^* absorption



quark transversity component in lepton scattering plane flips

probing BM function in tagged SIDIS



on average, FSI deflects quarks towards the center

Collins effect

- When a $\perp$ polarized struck quark fragments, the strucure of jet is sensitive to polarization of quark
- distribution of hadrons relative to $\perp$ polarization direction may be left-right asymmetric
- asymmetry parameterized by **Collins fragmentation function**
- Artru model:
  - struck quark forms pion with $\bar{q}$ from $q\bar{q}$ pair with ${}^3P_0$ 'vacuum' quantum numbers
  - $\hookrightarrow$ pion 'inherits' OAM in direction of $\perp$ spin of struck quark
  - $\hookrightarrow$ produced pion preferentially moves to left when looking into direction of motion of fragmenting quark with spin up
- Artru model confirmed by HERMES experiment
- more precise determination of Collins function under way (BELLE)

probing BM function in tagged SIDIS



SSA of π in jet emanating from $\perp$ pol. q

probing BM function in tagged SIDIS

net $\perp$ momentum (FSI+Collins)



$\hookrightarrow$ in this example, enhancement of pions with $\perp$ momenta $\perp$ to lepton plane

probing BM function in tagged SIDIS



$\hookrightarrow$ expect enhancement of pions with $\perp$ momenta $\perp$ to lepton plane

Quark-Gluon Correlations (chirally odd)

- $\perp$ momentum for quark polarized in $+\hat{x}$-direction (unpolarized target)

$$\langle k_\perp^y \rangle = \frac{g}{2p^+} \left\langle P, S \left| \bar{q}(0) \int_0^\infty dx^- G^{+y}(x^-) \sigma^{+y} q(0) \right| P, S \right\rangle$$

- compare: interaction-dependent twist-3 piece of $e(x)$

$$\int dx x^2 e^{int}(x) \equiv e_2 = \frac{g}{4MP^{+2}} \left\langle P, S \left| \bar{q}(0) G^{+y}(0) \sigma^{+y} q(0) \right| P, S \right\rangle$$

$\hookrightarrow$ $\langle F^y \rangle = M^2 e_2$

$\hookrightarrow$ (chromodynamic lensing) $e_2 < 0$

Summary

- GPDs $\stackrel{FT}{\longleftrightarrow}$ IPDs (impact parameter dependent PDFs)
- $E(x,0,-\boldsymbol{\Delta}_\perp^2) \longrightarrow \perp$ deformation of PDFs for $\perp$ polarized target

$\hookrightarrow$ $\kappa^{q/p}$ $\Rightarrow$ sign of deformation

$\hookrightarrow$ attractive FSI $\Rightarrow$ $f_{1T}^{\perp u} < 0$ & $f_{1T}^{\perp d} > 0$

- Interpretation of $M^2 d_2 \equiv 3M^2 \int dx x^2 \bar{g}_2(x)$ as $\perp$ **force on active quark in DIS in the instant after being struck by the virtual photon**

$$\langle F^y(0)\rangle = -M^2 d_2 \qquad \text{(rest frame; } S^x = 1\text{)}$$

- In combination with measurements of f_2
  - color-electric/magnetic force $\frac{M^2}{4}\chi_E$ and $\frac{M^2}{2}\chi_M$
- $\kappa^{q/p}$ $\Rightarrow$ $\perp$ deformation $\Rightarrow$ $d_2^{u/p} > 0$ & $d_2^{d/p} < 0$ (attractive FSI)
- combine measurement of d_2 with that of $f_{1T}^\perp$ $\Rightarrow$ **range of FSI**
- x^2-moment of chirally odd twist-3 PDF $e(x)$ $\longrightarrow$ **transverse force on transversly polarized quark in unpolarized target** ($\leftrightarrow$ Boer-Mulders $h_1^\perp$)

Summary

- distribution of $\perp$ polarized quarks in unpol. target described by chirally odd GPD $\bar{E}_T^q = 2\bar{H}_T^q + E_T^q$

$\hookrightarrow$ origin: correlation between orbital motion and spin of the quarks

$\hookrightarrow$ attractive FSI $\Rightarrow$ measurement of $h_1^\perp$ (DY,SIDIS) provides information on $\bar{E}_T^q$ and hence on spin-orbit correlations

- expect:

$$h_1^{\perp,q} < 0 \qquad\qquad |h_1^{\perp,q}| > |f_{1T}^q|$$

- x^2-moment of chirally odd twist-3 PDF $e(x) \longrightarrow$ **transverse force on transversly polarized quark in unpolarized target** ($\longrightarrow$ Boer-Mulders)