Phase structure of QC_2D at high temperature and density

Jon-Ivar Skullerud with Simon Hands, Seyong Kim, Seamus Cotter

NUI Maynooth

Lattice 2012, Cairns, 28 June 2012

Outline

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Background} \\ \mathsf{QC}_2\mathsf{D} \text{ vs } \mathsf{QCD} \\ \mathsf{Lattice \ formulation} \end{array}$

Order parameters

Bulk thermodynamics results

Heavy quarkonium

Summary

Background

Order parameters Bulk thermodynamics results Heavy quarkonium Summary

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulatior

Background



- A plethora of phases at high μ , low T
- Based on models and perturbation theory

Indirect approach

Study QCD-like theories without a sign problem

- Generic features of strongly interacting systems at $\mu \neq 0$
- Check on model calculations

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Global symmetries of QC_2D

Quarks and antiquarks are in the same representation

Anti-unitary symmetry: $KMK^{-1} = \mathcal{M}^*$ with $K \equiv C\gamma_5\tau_2$

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Global symmetries of QC₂D

Quarks and antiquarks are in the same representation

Anti-unitary symmetry: $KMK^{-1} = \mathcal{M}^*$ with $K \equiv C\gamma_5\tau_2$

 $m = \mu = 0$: global SU(2 N_f) symmetry \longrightarrow Sp(2 N_f) by $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle \neq 0$.

 $\Rightarrow N_f(2N_f - 1) - 1$ Goldstone modes

 $N_f = 2: 5 \text{ modes}$ $\overline{\psi} \overrightarrow{\sigma} \gamma_5 \psi \quad \text{pion} \qquad \psi^T \epsilon \tau_2 C \gamma_5 \psi, \quad \overline{\psi} \epsilon \tau_2 C \gamma_5 \overline{\psi}^T \quad \text{scalar diquark}$

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Diquark condensation

Diquarks are colour singlets in QC_2D

- \rightarrow superfluidity rather than colour superconductivity
- \rightarrow exact Goldstone mode from breaking of $\mathsf{U}(1)_{B}$ symmetry

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Diquark condensation

Diquarks are colour singlets in QC_2D

- \rightarrow superfluidity rather than colour superconductivity
- \rightarrow exact Goldstone mode from breaking of U(1)_B symmetry

Bose–Einstein Condensation:

Condensation of tightly bound diquarks (Goldstone baryons)

 \leftrightarrow Chiral perturbation theory

$$\langle \psi \psi
angle \propto \sqrt{1-(\mu/\mu_o)^4}$$

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Diquark condensation

Diquarks are colour singlets in QC_2D

- \rightarrow superfluidity rather than colour superconductivity
- \rightarrow exact Goldstone mode from breaking of U(1)_B symmetry

Bose–Einstein Condensation:

Condensation of tightly bound diquarks (Goldstone baryons) ↔ Chiral perturbation theory

$$\langle \psi \psi
angle \propto \sqrt{1-(\mu/\mu_o)^4}$$

Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer: Pairing of quarks near the Fermi surface

 $\langle \psi \psi \rangle \propto \Delta \mu^2$

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Lattice formulation

We use Wilson fermions:

- Correct symmetry breaking pattern, Goldstone spectrum
- $N_f < 4$ needed to guarantee continuum limit
- No problems with locality, fourth root trick
- Chiral symmetry buried at bottom of Fermi sea

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Lattice formulation

We use Wilson fermions:

- Correct symmetry breaking pattern, Goldstone spectrum
- $N_f < 4$ needed to guarantee continuum limit
- No problems with locality, fourth root trick
- Chiral symmetry buried at bottom of Fermi sea

 $S = \bar{\psi}_1 \mathcal{M}(\mu) \psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_2 \mathcal{M}(\mu) \psi_2 - \mathbf{J} \bar{\psi}_1 (C\gamma_5) \tau_2 \bar{\psi}_2^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{J} \psi_2^{\mathsf{T}} (C\gamma_5) \tau_2 \psi_1$ $\gamma_5 \mathcal{M}(\mu) \gamma_5 = \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}(-\mu), \quad C\gamma_5 \tau_2 \mathcal{M}(\mu) C\gamma_5 \tau_2 = -\mathcal{M}^*(\mu)$

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Lattice formulation

We use Wilson fermions:

- Correct symmetry breaking pattern, Goldstone spectrum
- $N_f < 4$ needed to guarantee continuum limit
- No problems with locality, fourth root trick
- Chiral symmetry buried at bottom of Fermi sea

 $S = \bar{\psi}_1 \mathcal{M}(\mu) \psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_2 \mathcal{M}(\mu) \psi_2 - \mathbf{J} \bar{\psi}_1 (C\gamma_5) \tau_2 \bar{\psi}_2^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{J} \psi_2^{\mathsf{T}} (C\gamma_5) \tau_2 \psi_1$ $\gamma_5 \mathcal{M}(\mu) \gamma_5 = \mathcal{M}^{\dagger}(-\mu), \quad C\gamma_5 \tau_2 \mathcal{M}(\mu) C\gamma_5 \tau_2 = -\mathcal{M}^*(\mu)$

Diquark source $J \equiv \kappa j$ introduced to

- lift low-lying eigenmodes in the superfluid phase
- study diquark condensation without uncontrolled approximations

QC₂D vs QCD Lattice formulation

Simulation Parameters

β	κ	а	am_{π}	$m_\pi/m_ ho$
1.9	0.168	0.18fm	0.65	0.80

Ns	$N_{ au}$	T (MeV)	μ a	ja
12	24	47	0.25-1.10	0.02, 0.04 (0.03)
16	24	47	0.30-0.90	0.04
12	16	70	0.30-0.90	0.04
16	12	94	0.20-0.90	0.02, 0.04
16	8	141	0.10-0.90	0.02, 0.04

250–500 trajectories used for each μ .

$j \rightarrow 0$ extrapolation

- Used linear extrapolation in j
- Check using power law, power+const, quadratic

Phase structure and order parameters

 $\mu={\rm 0}$ deconfinement transition

Performed temperature scan with $N_{ au}=4-10$ at $\mu=0$



L renormalised s.t. $L(N_{ au}=4)=1$

Inflection point from spline interpolation

 $T_d a = 0.193(20)$ gives $T_d = 217(23)$ MeV

Diquark condensate

Results shown are for linear extrapolation Power law $\langle qq \rangle = Aj^{\alpha}$ works for $\mu a \lesssim 0.4$, with $\alpha = 0.85 - 0.5$.



• BCS scaling $\langle qq \rangle \sim \mu^2$ for 0.35 $\lesssim \mu a \lesssim 0.7$

• Melted at
$$T = 141$$
MeV $(N_{\tau} = 8)$

Diquark condensate

Results shown are for linear extrapolation Power law $\langle qq \rangle = Aj^{\alpha}$ works for $\mu a \lesssim 0.4$, with $\alpha = 0.85 - 0.5$.



- ► BCS scaling $\langle qq \rangle \sim \mu^2$ for 0.35 $\lesssim \mu a \lesssim 0.7$
- Melted at T = 141 MeV $(N_{\tau} = 8)$
- New transition for $\mu a \gtrsim 0.7?$
- Melting for $N_{ au} = 12, \mu a \gtrsim 0.7?$

 $N_{\tau} = 16$ results are very close to $N_{\tau} = 24$ results.

Polyakov loop, static quark potential



Polyakov loop, static quark potential



Polyakov loop, static quark potential



- Results suggest deconfinement at higher μ as T increases
- T-scan at fixed µ required to locate transition
- ► Crossing of lines at µa ≈ 0.75 lattice artefact?
- Potential is slightly screened at intermediate μ
- Anti-screening at large μ?

Chiral condensate

Computed $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle$ with naive fermions (r = 0), $ma = 1/2\kappa = 0.0625, 0.0313, 0.0125.$ $ma = 0.0625(\kappa = 8.0)$ gives $m_{\pi}a = 0.66$

Chiral condensate

Computed $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle$ with naive fermions (r = 0), ma = 1/2 κ = 0.0625, 0.0313, 0.0125. ma = 0.0625(κ = 8.0) gives $m_{\pi}a = 0.66$



- ► Chiral symmetry restoration at µa ≈ 0.45?
- At all temperatures?

Chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry: $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle \propto m_q$

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{m_2 \langle \overline{\psi}\psi(m_1) \rangle}{m_1 \langle \overline{\psi}\psi(m_2) \rangle} = 1 \\ \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\kappa_1^2 \langle \overline{\psi}\psi(\kappa_1) \rangle_W}{\kappa_2^2 \langle \overline{\psi}\psi(\kappa_2) \rangle_W} = 1$$

Chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry: $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi
angle \propto m_q$

$$\Longrightarrow rac{m_2 \langle \overline{\psi} \psi(m_1)
angle}{m_1 \langle \overline{\psi} \psi(m_2)
angle} = 1 \ \Leftrightarrow rac{\kappa_1^2 \langle \overline{\psi} \psi(\kappa_1)
angle_W}{\kappa_2^2 \langle \overline{\psi} \psi(\kappa_2)
angle_W} = 1$$

"Chiral symmetry" restored for $\mu a\gtrsim 0.4$ (but broken by $\langle qq
angle$)



Chiral symmetry

Chiral symmetry: $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi
angle \propto m_q$

$$\Longrightarrow rac{m_2 \langle \overline{\psi} \psi(m_1)
angle}{m_1 \langle \overline{\psi} \psi(m_2)
angle} = 1 \ \Leftrightarrow rac{\kappa_1^2 \langle \overline{\psi} \psi(\kappa_1)
angle_W}{\kappa_2^2 \langle \overline{\psi} \psi(\kappa_2)
angle_W} = 1$$

"Chiral symmetry" restored for $\mu a\gtrsim 0.4$ (but broken by $\langle qq
angle$)



Number density



Normalised to lattice SB or

$$n_{SB}^{\rm Cont} = \frac{4\mu T^2}{3} + \frac{4\mu^3}{3\pi^2}$$

- Close to SB scaling for $0.4 \lesssim \mu a \lesssim 0.7$, $N_{\tau} = 24, 12$.
- Little *T*-dependence for $T \lesssim 100 \text{MeV}.$
- Strong thermal effects for $N_{ au} = 8$
- $N_{ au} = 16,24$ almost identical

Energy density



- Normalised to lattice SB, continuum SB at T = 0
- Close to SB scaling for intermediate µ
- Big peak at $\mu a \approx 0.35 0.40$
- Strong *T*-dependence, hard to disentangle from lattice artefacts
- Strong thermal effects for $N_{\tau} = 8$
- $N_{ au} = 16,24$ almost identical

Quark and gluon energy density



- Big peak in ε_q in intermediate region?
- $\varepsilon_q \sim 2\varepsilon_{SB} \rightarrow k_F > E_F \implies$ binding energy?
- 10–20% of total energy from gluons?
- Renormalisation of energy densities in progress

Conformal anomaly

Conformal anomaly $\Theta = \mathcal{T}_{\mu\mu} = arepsilon - 3p$ is given by

$$\Theta = \Theta_g + \Theta_q = -a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial a} \frac{3\beta}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} \langle \Box \rangle + a \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial a} \kappa^{-1} (4N_f N_c - \langle \overline{\psi} \psi \rangle)$$

Beta functions $a\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial a}$, $a\frac{\partial\kappa}{\partial a}$ can be estimated from parameters of two matched lattices (coarse and fine)

Conformal anomaly

Conformal anomaly $\Theta = T_{\mu\mu} = \varepsilon - 3p$ is given by

$$\Theta = \Theta_g + \Theta_q = -a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial a} \frac{3\beta}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} \langle \Box \rangle + a \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial a} \kappa^{-1} (4N_f N_c - \langle \overline{\psi} \psi \rangle)$$

Beta functions $a\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial a}$, $a\frac{\partial\kappa}{\partial a}$ can be estimated from parameters of two matched lattices (coarse and fine)



•
$$\Theta_q \approx \Theta_g$$
 up to
 $\mu = 500 \text{MeV}$

- Θ_g becomes negative for $\mu \gtrsim 800 \text{MeV}$
- Θ_q increases rapidly for $\mu \gtrsim 800 \text{MeV}$

•
$$\Theta > 0$$
 for all μ

Conformal anomaly — temperature dependence



Very weak *T*-dependence except for $N_{\tau} = 8!$

Quark number susceptibility [See also P.Giudice, Lat11]

- $\chi_{\textbf{q}} \equiv \frac{\partial n_{q}}{\partial \mu}$ measures fluctuations in quark number
 - Fluctuation peak may signal 2nd order critical point
 - Used as measure of deconfinement of light quarks
 - QC₂D has light (pseudo-Goldstone) baryons
 → fluctuations may be large even in confined phase

Susceptibility results



- Smooth behaviour at all *T*, μ
- Plateau in BCS region
- $N_{\tau} = 8$ qualitatively different



Heavy quarkonium [PLB 711 (2012) 199, arXiv:1202.4353]

- Heavy quarkonia are much studied at high T
- Can be treated as probes (not in thermal equilibrium)
- $M_q \gg T, M_V \implies$ can use effective theory: NRQCD
- We use lattice NRQCD to $\mathcal{O}(v^4)$
- Computed S and P wave correlators
- Note that QQ is equivalent to QQ in QC₂D

NRQC₂D correlators and energies



NRQC₂D correlators and energies



21 / 24

Summary



Summary

Evidence for three phases/regions

- Vacuum/hadronic phase below $\mu_o = m_\pi/2$, low T
- BCS/quarkyonic for intermediate μ , low T
 - \rightarrow BCS scaling of $n_q, \langle qq \rangle, \chi_q \rightarrow$ quark degrees of freedom?
 - $ightarrow \langle \overline{\psi}\psi
 angle pprox$ 0 in chiral limit
 - $\rightarrow~$ Quark conformal anomaly constant
- Deconfined/QGP matter at high T
 - \rightarrow Evidence of $T_d(\mu)$ decreasing with μ
 - $\rightarrow~$ Situation at very high μ unclear
- Possible deconfined BCS region?
- ► BEC region narrow/washed out peak in ε_q a possible signal?

Outlook

- Renormalise energy densities imminent
- Temperature scan to determine $T_d(\mu)$
- Gluon and quark propagators in progress
- Smaller quark mass at fixed lattice spacing in progress
- Finer lattice at same quark mass in progress