Determination of $\Lambda^{\overline{MS}}$ from the gluon and ghost propagators in Landau gauge

Andre Sternbeck University of Regensburg, Germany Outline the MM coupling lattice data, systematic effects conclusion

in collaboration with K. Maltman, M. Müller-Preussker, L. von Smekal

Lattice2012, Cairns, Australia





The MM coupling

Minimal MOM coupling

- aka ghost-gluon coupling, because it is based on ghost-ghost-gluon vertex
- defined in a particular MOM scheme in general covariant gauges
- defined beyond lattice QCD

[L. von Smekal et al (1995)]

$$lpha_s^{\sf MM}(p^2) = rac{g_0^2}{4\pi} Z(p^2) G^2(p^2)$$

Propagators (Landau gauge)

• Ghost propagator function:

$$G^{ab}(q) = -\delta^{ab} \frac{G(q^2)}{q^2}$$

• Gluon propagator function:

$$D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta^{ab} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2}\right) \frac{Z(q^2)}{q^2}$$

The MM coupling

Minimal MOM coupling

- aka ghost-gluon coupling, because it is based on ghost-ghost-gluon vertex
- defined in a particular MOM scheme in general covariant gauges
- defined beyond lattice QCD

[L. von Smekal et al (1995)]

$$lpha_s^{\sf MM}(p^2) = rac{g_0^2}{4\pi} Z(p^2) G^2(p^2)$$

Propagators

• renormalised as in MOM scheme

$$Z(p^2)\big|_{p^2=\mu^2} = 1 \quad \to Z_3$$
$$G(p^2)\big|_{p^2=\mu^2} = 1 \quad \to \tilde{Z}_3$$

Vertex

$$\widetilde{Z}_1 \ = \ \widetilde{Z}_1^{\overline{\mathsf{MS}}}$$
 Landau gauge $\widetilde{Z}_1 = 1$

Minimal MOM subtraction scheme = modified **Minimal** subtraction scheme + **MOM** subtraction scheme

Relating a coupling to the MS scheme

At large enough scales
$$\left(a \equiv \alpha_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}}/4\pi\right)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_s^S}{\alpha_s^{\overline{\mathsf{MS}}}} = 1 + \mathbf{D}_1 a + D_2 a^2 + D_3 a^3 + \mathcal{O}(a^4)$$

$$eta(lpha_s) = \mu^2 rac{\partial lpha_s(\mu^2)}{\partial \mu^2}$$
 $lpha_{s,\overline{\mathsf{MS}}}^{n_f=5}(M_Z)$

Relating a coupling to the MS scheme

At large enough scales
$$\left(a \equiv \alpha_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}}/4\pi\right)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_s^{S}}{\alpha_s^{\overline{MS}}} = 1 + D_1 a + D_2 a^2 + D_3 a^3 + \mathcal{O}(a^4)$$

$$\beta(\alpha_s) = \mu^2 \frac{\partial \alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\partial \mu^2}$$

$$n_f = 5 (n_f)$$

Alternatively, " Λ_{QCD} "

 \mathcal{O}

$$\ln \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_S^2} = \int \frac{da}{\beta^S(a)}$$

Exact relation between schemes

$$\frac{\Lambda^S}{\Lambda^{\overline{\mathsf{MS}}}} = \exp\left(\frac{D_1}{2\beta_0}\right)$$



Relating a coupling to the MS scheme

At large enough scales
$$\left(a \equiv \alpha_s^{\overline{MS}}/4\pi\right)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_s^{\scriptscriptstyle o}}{\alpha_s^{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{\mathsf{MS}}}} = 1 + D_1 a + D_2 a^2 + D_3 a^3 + \mathcal{O}(a^4)$$

Alternatively, " Λ_{QCD} " $(a \equiv \alpha^S / \pi)$ $\ln \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_S^2} = \int \frac{da}{\beta^S(a)}$

Exact relation between schemes

$$\frac{\Lambda^S}{\Lambda^{\overline{\text{MS}}}} = \exp\left(\frac{D_1}{2\beta_0}\right)$$





The MM coupling

$$lpha_s^{\sf MM}(p^2) = rac{g_0^2}{4\pi} Z(p^2) G^2(p^2)$$

MC

Relation to MS

[L. von Smekal, K. Maltman, A.S., PLB681, 336 (2009)]

up to 4-loop order

$$\frac{\alpha_s^{\text{MM}}}{\alpha_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}}} = Z(\mu^2)^{\overline{\text{MS}}} G^2(\mu^2)^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 1 + D_1 a + D_2 a^2 + D_3 a^3 + \mathcal{O}(a^4)$$

β^{MM} function

$$\mu^2 \frac{da(\mu^2)}{d\mu^2} = \beta(a) := -\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_i a^{i+2}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \beta_0^{\mathsf{MM}} = 2.25 & (N_c = N_f = 3) \\ \beta_1^{\mathsf{MM}} = 4.0 - 0.422\xi - 0.281\xi^2 + 0.141\xi^3 \\ \beta_2^{\mathsf{MM}} = \dots \\ \beta_3^{\mathsf{MM}} = \dots \\ \beta_3^{\mathsf{MM}} = \dots \end{array}$$

[for ξ =0 (i.e., Landau gauge) MM scheme = *Taylor* scheme of Boucaud et al. (2009-12)]

$$lpha_{s}^{\mathsf{MM}}(p) = rac{g_{0}^{2}(a)}{4\pi} Z(p, a) G^{2}(p, a)$$
 $g_{0}^{2}(a) = rac{2N_{c}}{\beta}$

Recipe

- 1) generate / download gauge configurations (any flavor)
- 2) gauge-fix these to Landau gauge
- calculate gluon and ghost propagator in momentum space (diagonal momenta only)
- 4) extract dressing functions using the correct lattice tree-level form
 - → for plain Wilson action:

$$D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p) = \delta^{ab} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\hat{p}_{\mu}\hat{p}_{\nu}}{\hat{p}^2} \right) \frac{Z(p^2)}{\hat{p}^2}$$
$$G^{ab}(p) = -\delta^{ab} \frac{G(p^2)}{\hat{p}^2}$$

Advantages

- no vertex, only 2-pt function (much less noise)
- β-function (and relation to MS)
 known to 4-loop [PLB681, 336 (2009)]
- good understanding of lattice spacing effects \rightarrow better use of data at $a^2p^2 \gg 1$
- could be used to determine $a(\beta)$

$$lpha_s^{\mathsf{MM}}(r_0p) = rac{g_0^2(a)}{4\pi} Z(r_0p,a) G^2(r_0p,a) \qquad \qquad g_0^2(a) = rac{2N_c}{eta}$$

Momentum / Scale

• Express all lattice momenta in units of r_0 :

$$ap \to r_0 p = \frac{r_0}{a}(\beta) \cdot ap$$

- Use as input $r_0/a(\beta)$ values from
 - $-N_f = 0$: Necco-Sommer interpolation formula
 - $N_f = 2$: new QCDSF values for chirally extrapolated $r_0/a(\beta)$
 - N_f = 2 + 1: (currently) QCDSF values for $r_0/a(\beta)$

- [Necco&Sommer (2002)]
- [Bali&Najjar (2012)]

[Bali&Najjar (2012)]

- Seems ok: no deviation seen in the data for different β
- Why QCDSF values?
 - we use their $N_f = 2, 2+1$ configurations available via ILDG

$$lpha_s^{\mathsf{MM}}(r_0p) = rac{g_0^2(a)}{4\pi} Z(r_0p, a) G^2(r_0p, a) \qquad g_0^2(a) = rac{2N_c}{eta}$$



- data for different $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ overlaps almost completely, as it should
- understanding the small deviations is the subject of the talk
- also, no visible quark mass dependence

$$lpha_s^{\mathsf{MM}}(r_0p) = rac{g_0^2(a)}{4\pi} Z(r_0p, a) G^2(r_0p, a) \qquad g_0^2(a) = rac{2N_c}{eta}$$



- data for different $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ overlaps almost completely, as it should
- understanding the small deviations is the subject of the talk
- also, no visible quark mass dependence

Why should I care about these deviations?

$$lpha_s^{\mathsf{MM}}(r_0p) = rac{g_0^2(a)}{4\pi} Z(r_0p, a) G^2(r_0p, a) \qquad g_0^2(a) = rac{2N_c}{eta}$$



 for unquenched configurations: access to large momenta is restricted

Why should I care about these deviations?

nonperturbative and other effects sneak in

Effects

At smaller scales

- higher-loop contributions
- condensates
 - dim=4 gluon condensate
 - dim=2 condensate (maybe)
- non-perturbative corrections

At larger lattice momenta

- hyper-cubic artifacts due to finite \boldsymbol{a}

Effective description of data

 $\langle A^2
angle$? Boucaud et al., see Petrov's talk

$$\alpha_L^{\mathsf{MM}} = \alpha_{4-\mathrm{loop}}^{\mathsf{MM}}(p^2) \left[1 + \frac{c_0}{p^2} \right] (1 + c_1 a^2 p^2 + c_2 a^4 p^4)$$

• Correlation of different fit parameters: have to carefully entangle this





Data at sufficiently large momentum

Fits to 4-loop running

- have to stay in perturbative regime $r_0^2 p^2 \ge 500$

$N_f = 0$ calculation

- access to large momenta easier (reasonable large volumes)
- β =6.5 7.20 on 48⁴, 64⁴ feasible



Data at sufficiently large momentum

Fits to 4-loop running

- have to stay in perturbative regime $r_0^2 p^2 \geq 500$
- $N_f = 0$ calculation
- access to large momenta easier (reasonable large volumes)
- β =6.5 7.20 on 48⁴, 64⁴ feasible

$N_f = 2$ and $N_f = 2 + 1$

- access to large momenta limited
- e.g., QCDSF
 - $N_f = 2$: $\beta = 5.29, 5.40, 48^3 \times 64$
 - $N_f = 2 + 1: \beta = 5.50, 5.80, 32^3 \times 64$



Have to get most out of the data at larger lattice momentum

→ need to understand lattice discretization effects

Ghost dressing function

At 1-loop order LPT

$$Z_{G}(ap) = 1 + \frac{\Pi(ap)}{a^{2}\hat{p}^{2}} = 1 + g_{0}^{2} \left[F(a^{2}p^{2}) + \Delta_{G}(ap) \right] \stackrel{a \to 0}{=} 1 + g_{0}^{2}F(a^{2}p^{2})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$F(a^{2}p^{2}) = 1 + g_{0}^{2} \left(c_{1} \log(a^{2}p^{2}) + c_{2} \right)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathsf{ost self-energy at 1-loop LPT}$$

$$\mathsf{[Kawai et al. (1981)]}$$

Gho



$$\Pi^{(a)} = g_0^2 N \delta_{ab} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu} - (1-\alpha)\hat{k}_{\mu}\hat{k}_{\nu}/\hat{k}^2}{\hat{k}^2(\hat{p+k})^2} (\hat{p+k})_{\mu}$$
$$\times \cos \frac{p_{\mu}a}{2} \hat{p}_{\nu} \cos \frac{(p+k)_{\nu}a}{2},$$
$$\Pi^{(b)} = \frac{1}{12} g_0^2 a^2 N \delta_{ab} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \hat{p}_{\mu} \hat{p}_{\nu} \delta_{\mu\nu} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu} - (1-\alpha)\hat{k}_{\mu}\hat{k}_{\nu}/\hat{k}^2}{\hat{k}^2}$$

Ghost dressing function

At 1-loop order LPT

$$Z_{G}(ap) = 1 + \frac{\Pi(ap)}{a^{2}\hat{p}^{2}} = 1 + g_{0}^{2} \left[F(a^{2}p^{2}) + \Delta_{G}(ap) \right] \stackrel{a \to 0}{=} 1 + g_{0}^{2} F(a^{2}p^{2})$$

$$|$$

$$F(a^{2}p^{2}) = 1 + g_{0}^{2} \left(c_{1} \log(a^{2}p^{2}) + c_{2} \right)$$
Ghost self-energy at 1-loop LPT

[Kawai et al. (1981)]

Hypercubic corrections





Ghost dressing function – hypercubic corr. (exact)

At 1-loop order LPT

$$Z_G(ap) = 1 + \frac{\Pi(ap)}{a^2\hat{p}^2} = 1 + g_0^2 \left[F(a^2p^2) + \Delta_G(ap) \right] \stackrel{a \to 0}{=} 1 + g_0^2 F(a^2p^2)$$

Lessons

- diagonal momenta come with smallest deviations (not zero!)
- use always correct lattice tree-level structure
- Remember: ghost propagator at lattice tree-level

$$G^0(ap) = \frac{1}{a^2\hat{p}^2}$$

$$p_{\mu} = \frac{2\pi k_{\mu}}{L_{\mu}} \qquad \hat{p}_{\mu} = \frac{2}{a} \sin\left(\frac{p_{\mu}}{2}\right)$$



Gluon self-energy

At 1-loop order LPT





Thanks to H.Perlt for the 3gl and 4gl-vertex functions

Gluon dressing function – hypercubic corr.

At 1-loop order LPT

$$Z_D(ap) = 1 + \frac{\Pi(ap)}{3a^2\hat{p}^2} = 1 + g_0^2 \left[F(a^2p^2) + \Delta_D(ap) \right] \stackrel{a \to 0}{=} 1 + g_0^2 F(a^2p^2)$$

Lessons

- Same as for ghost
- Remember: gluon propagator at lattice tree-level

$$D^{0}(ap) = \frac{1}{a^{2}\hat{p}^{2}} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\hat{p}_{\mu}\hat{p}_{\nu}}{\hat{p}^{2}}\right)$$



Gluon dressing function – hypercubic corr.

At 1-loop order LPT

$$Z_D(ap) = 1 + \frac{\Pi(ap)}{3a^2\hat{p}^2} = 1 + g_0^2 \left[F(a^2p^2) + \Delta_D(ap) \right] \stackrel{a \to 0}{=} 1 + g_0^2 F(a^2p^2)$$

Lessons

- Same as for ghost
- Remember: gluon propagator at lattice tree-level

$$D^{0}(ap) = \frac{1}{a^{2}\hat{p}^{2}} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\hat{p}_{\mu}\hat{p}_{\nu}}{\hat{p}^{2}}\right)$$



Subtracting corrections

In perturbative regime

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{MC}}^{\mathsf{MM}}(r_0 p, ap) = \alpha_s^{\mathsf{MM}}(r_0^2 p^2) + \underbrace{g_0^4 \left(\Delta_Z + 2\Delta_G\right)}_{\bullet} + O(g_0^6)$$

1-loop corrections

Subtracting exact 1-loop corrections

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{MC,sub}}^{\mathrm{MM}}(r_0 p, ap) = \alpha_s^{\mathrm{MM}}(r_0^2 p^2) + O(g_0^6)$$

Hyper-cubic Taylor expansion of higher order corrections

$$\alpha_{\rm MC,sub}^{\rm MM}(r_0p,ap) = \alpha_s^{\rm MM}(r_0^2p^2) + g_0^6 \left(c_2 \cdot (ap)^2 + c_4 \cdot (ap)^4 + \ldots\right) + O(g_0^8)$$

(for diagonal momenta)

Subtracting corrections – 1-loop is not enough

+

It turns out

- 1-loop corrections remove only a minor part of the effects
- Most of the effect can be described by a global fit to the data (simultaneously for different β)
- 3 Parameters (in total)

 $\alpha_{\mathrm{ref}}^{\overline{\mathsf{MS}}}, c_2, c_4$

Global fit function

$$\alpha_{\rm MC,sub}^{\rm MM}(r_0 p, \beta) = \underline{\alpha_s^{\rm MM}(r_0^2 p^2, \alpha_{\rm ref}^{\rm MS})}$$

cont. running

Fits, make sure that

1) stay in perturbative regime

$$r_0^2 p^2 > 600 \quad (p^2 > 90 \text{GeV}^2)$$

2) avoid too large lattice momenta, but still sufficient enough

$$17 \le a^2 p^2 \le 34$$

$$g_0^2(a) = \frac{2N_c}{\beta}$$

$$g_0^6 \left(c_2 \cdot (ap)^2 + c_4 \cdot (ap)^4 \right)$$

leading corrections



Unquenched data after (fitted) subtraction

First three-flavour results

Currently

- Only data sets for one β = 5.50, more to come
- Encouraging: Data is in the right ball park

Conclusions

Minimal MOM coupling

- data at sufficiently large scales allows for high-precision determination of $\Lambda^{\overline{\rm MS}}$
- if scales to small, nonperturbative / condensate / perturbative effects mix up
 - Effectively 1/p² corrections to 4-loop running at lower scales $ightarrow \langle A^2
 angle$?
 - \rightarrow fix $\Lambda^{\overline{MS}}$ first at large scales, then check behavior at lower scales

Problem and solution

- access to higher scales restricted
- Understanding of lattice artefacts important
- Have calculated exact 1-loop corrections
- Remaining hypercubic corrections are fitted

(in particular for Nf=2,2+1)(mainly hypercubic corrections)(unfortunately only minor fraction)(global 3-parameter fit)

Conclusions

Values for $\Lambda^{\overline{MS}}$

- Still investigating systematic error (3 fit parameters are anti-correlated)
- Preliminary values are in agreement with other studies (please do not copy yet)

$$r_{0}\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{(0)} = 0.61\dots 0.65 \qquad \stackrel{r_{0}=0.5\text{fm}}{=} \qquad 240\dots 256\text{MeV}$$
$$r_{0}\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{(2)} = 0.72\dots 0.82 \qquad \stackrel{r_{0}=0.5\text{fm}}{=} \qquad 284\dots 323\text{MeV}$$
$$\left(r_{0}\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{(3)} = 0.79\dots 0.85 \qquad \stackrel{r_{0}=0.5\text{fm}}{=} \qquad 312\dots 335\text{MeV}\right)$$

Aim of talk was to show

- method is reliable
- precision is a matter of understanding finite lattice spacing corrections

Advertisement –

New analysis of nucleon mass data (QCDSF)

• for N_f = 2 we find

 $r_0 = 0.501(10)(11) \,\mathrm{fm}$ $\sigma_{\pi N} = 37(8)(6) \,\mathrm{MeV}$

- more details will appear on the arXiv the next days
- or visit LHP IV

Thank you for your attention!