$\bar{B} \rightarrow D$ decays at nonzero recoil with 2+1 flavors of improved staggered quarks

Si-Wei Qiu, C. DeTar Daping Du, A.S. Kronfeld, J. Laiho, R. S. Van de Water (Fermilab Lattice/MILC collaborations)



June 27, 2012

Motivation

- $|V_{cb}|$ normalizes the legs of the unitarity triangle.
- \blacktriangleright The dominant uncertainty comes from the hadronic form factors for $\bar{B} \rightarrow D + \ldots$
- ▶ The exclusive processes $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\ell\nu$ and $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*\ell\nu$ can be studied in lattice gauge theory.
- Here we report on results for $\bar{B} \to D\ell\nu$.
- Lattice measurements at zero recoil have the smallest errors
- ▶ Because of the phase space suppression near zero recoil in $\overline{B} \rightarrow D\ell\nu$, experimental errors are largest there.
- So we need to work at nonzero recoil where the combined experimental and theoretical error is minimized.

Formalism

► The differential decay rate $\frac{d\Gamma(\vec{B} \rightarrow D\ell \vec{\nu})}{dq^2}$ is proportional to $|f_+|^2$ for $\ell = e, \mu$, where for $q = p_B - p_D$

$$egin{aligned} &\langle D(p_D) | \mathcal{V}^\mu | B(p_B)
angle &= f_+(q^2) \left[(p_B + p_D)^\mu - rac{M_B^2 - M_D^2}{q^2} q^\mu
ight] \ &+ f_0(q^2) rac{M_B^2 - M_D^2}{q^2} q^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

• The alternative form factors h_+ and h_- are convenient:

$$rac{\langle D(p_D) | \mathcal{V}^{\mu} | B(p_B)
angle}{\sqrt{M_B M_D}} = h_+(w) (v + v')^{\mu} + h_-(w) (v - v')^{\mu},$$

where $v = p_B/M_B$ and $v' = p_D/M_D$. • They are related to f_+ and f_0 through

 $\begin{array}{ll} f_+(q^2) &=& \displaystyle \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \left[(1+r)h_+(w) - (1-r)h_-(w) \right], \\ f_0(q^2) &=& \displaystyle \sqrt{r} \left[\frac{w+1}{1+r}h_+(w) - \frac{w-1}{1-r}h_-(w) \right], \end{array}$

where $r = M_D/M_B$ and $q^2 = M_B^2 + M_D^2 - 2wM_BM_D$ or $w = v \cdot v'$.

Formalism

► In the B̄ meson rest frame for any recoil D-momentum p we get h₊ and h₋ from matrix elements of the current starting from R₊ and R₋

$$R_{+}(\mathbf{p}) \equiv \langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_{4} | B(\mathbf{0}) \rangle$$

$$R_{-}(\mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{\langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_{1} | B(\mathbf{0}) \rangle}{\langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_{4} | B(\mathbf{0}) \rangle}$$

$$x_{f}(\mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{\langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_{1} | D(\mathbf{0}) \rangle}{\langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_{4} | D(\mathbf{0}) \rangle}$$

$$w(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{[1 + x_{f}(\mathbf{p})^{2}] / [1 - x_{f}(\mathbf{p})^{2}]}{h_{+}(w)} = R_{+}(\mathbf{p}) [1 - x_{f}(\mathbf{p}) R_{-}(\mathbf{p})]$$

$$h_{-}(w) = R_{+}(\mathbf{p}) [1 - R_{-}(\mathbf{p}) / x_{f}(\mathbf{p})]$$

At zero recoil, we can also use the double ratio of Hashimoto et al:

$$|h_{+}(\mathbf{0})|^{2} = \frac{\langle D(\mathbf{0})|V_{1}|B(\mathbf{0})\rangle \langle B(\mathbf{0})|V_{1}|D(\mathbf{0})\rangle}{\langle D(\mathbf{0})|V_{4}|D(\mathbf{0})\rangle \langle B(\mathbf{0})|V_{4}|B(\mathbf{0})\rangle}$$

"Mostly nonperturbative" current renormalization

• The continuum \mathcal{V}^{μ} and lattice V^{μ} currents are matched through

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mu}_{cb}=Z_{cb}V^{\mu}_{cb}$$

• We follow Hashimoto *et al*:

$$Z_{cb} = \rho_{cb} \sqrt{Z_{cc} Z_{bb}}$$

and determine $\rho_{\textit{cb}}$ from one loop lattice and continuum perturbation theory.

Lattice correlators needed



- We use naive light spectator quarks and clover heavy quarks.
- We need matrix elements $\langle Y(\mathbf{p})|V_{\mu}|X(0)\rangle$ for $X, Y \in \{B, D\}$.
- ▶ For interpolating operators O_X, we measure two-point and three-point functions

$$C^{2\rho t,X}(\mathbf{p},t) = \left\langle \mathcal{O}_X^{\dagger}(0)\mathcal{O}_X(t) \right\rangle$$
$$C^{3\rho t,X \to Y}_{\mu}(\mathbf{p};0,t,T) = \left\langle \mathcal{O}_Y^{\dagger}(0)V_{\mu}(t)\mathcal{O}_X(T) \right\rangle$$

▶ We use both point and 1*S* smeared interpolators for the *D* meson and 1*S* smeared interpolators for the *B*

Example: $R_+(p) = \langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_4 | B(\mathbf{0}) \rangle$

▶ We include excited contributions but not doubly excited:

$$C_{V4}^{3pt,\bar{B}\to D}(\mathbf{p},t) = \sqrt{Z_D(\mathbf{p})} \frac{e^{-E_D t}}{\sqrt{2E_D}} \langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_4 | B(\mathbf{0}) \rangle \frac{e^{-m_B(T-t)}}{\sqrt{2m_B}} \sqrt{Z_B(\mathbf{0})}$$

$$+ \sqrt{Z_D'(\mathbf{p})} \frac{e^{-E_D' t}}{\sqrt{2E_D'}} \langle D'(\mathbf{p}) | V_4 | B(\mathbf{0}) \rangle \frac{e^{-m_B(T-t)}}{\sqrt{2m_B}} \sqrt{Z_B(\mathbf{0})}$$

$$+ \sqrt{Z_D(\mathbf{p})} \frac{e^{-E_D t}}{\sqrt{2E_D}} \langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_4 | B'(\mathbf{p}) \rangle \frac{e^{-m_B(T-t)}}{\sqrt{2m_{B'}}} \sqrt{Z_B'(\mathbf{0})}$$

Or

$$C_{V_4}^{3pt,\bar{B}\to D}(\mathbf{p},t) = C_0(\mathbf{p}) \langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_4 | B(\mathbf{0}) \rangle e^{-E_D t} e^{-m_B(T-t)} \\ \times \left[1 + C_1(\mathbf{p}) e^{-\Delta E_D t} + C_2(\mathbf{p}) e^{(t-T)\Delta m_B} \right]$$

where $C_0(\mathbf{p})$, $\Delta E_D = E_{D'} - E_D$, and $\Delta m_B = m_{B'} - m_B$ are determined in fits to two-point correlators.

► There are also oscillating terms from the naive light quark. Their contributions are suppressed by averaging over T, T + 1 and t, t + 1.

Extracting $R_+(p) = \langle D(\mathbf{p}) | V_4 | B(\mathbf{0}) \rangle$

Putting information from three- and two-point functions together, we get

$$R_{+}(\mathbf{p},t) \equiv \frac{C_{V_{4}}^{3pt,\bar{B}\to D}(\mathbf{p},t)e^{(E_{D}-m_{B})t+(m_{B}-m_{D})T/2}}{\sqrt{C_{V_{4}}^{3pt,D\to D}(\mathbf{0},t)C_{V_{4}}^{3pt,B\to B}(\mathbf{0},t)}}\sqrt{\frac{Z_{D}(\mathbf{0})E_{D}}{Z_{D}(\mathbf{p})m_{D}}}$$

$$\approx R_{+}(\mathbf{p})\left[1+s_{1}(\mathbf{p})e^{-\Delta E_{D}t}+s_{2}(\mathbf{p})e^{(t-T)\Delta m_{B}}\right]$$

► The zero-recoil form factor h₊(0) = R₊(0) can be calculated very accurately from the double ratio. A good strategy is to use it to normalize the nonzero recoil values:

$$\frac{R_{+}(\mathbf{p},t)}{R_{+}(\mathbf{0},t)} = \frac{R_{+}(\mathbf{p})}{R_{+}(\mathbf{0})} \exp(\delta m t) + A(\mathbf{p}) \exp(-\Delta E_{D} t) + B(\mathbf{p}) \exp(\Delta m_{B} t)$$

▶ The parameters $\delta m = 0$, $\Delta E_D = E_{D'} - E_D$, and $\Delta m_B = m_{B'} - m_B$ are determined in fits to two-point functions. Their central values and errors become priors for the three-point fit.

Sample fit

• Example from the a = 0.06 fm, $m_{\ell}/m_s = 0.15$ ensemble with T = 24, 25.



- ► We do a simultaneous fit to three three-point functions: the zero-recoil double ratio (upper) and the ratio R₊(**p**, t)/R₊(**0**, t) for both 1S smeared (middle) and local D-meson interpolators (lower).
- Red points are included in the fit.

Asqtad ensembles used



- ▶ 14 ensembles in this study
- Valence bottom and charm quark masses tuned to the "kinetic" B_s and D_s masses.
- The light valence quark mass is always set equal to the light sea quark mass.

h_+ chiral model

▶ For light spectator quark mass m_{ℓ} , lattice spacing *a*, and $w = v \cdot v'$ we use the chiral/continuum model

$$\begin{array}{lll} h_+(a,m_\ell,w) &=& 1-\rho_+^2(w-1)+k_+(w-1)^2+\frac{X_+(\Lambda_\chi)}{m_c^2} \\ &+& \frac{g_{D^*D\pi}^2}{16\pi^2 f^2} {\rm logs}_{1-{\rm loop}}(\Lambda_\chi,w,m_\ell,a) \\ &+& c_{1,+}m_\ell+c_{a,+}a^2+c_{a,w,+}a^2(w-1) \end{array}$$

 For the one-loop chiral logs we use a staggered fermion version of Chow and Wise [hep-ph/9305229].

h_+ fit result full dataset



• Mild dependence on *a* and m_{ud}/m_s .

h_+ 0.12 fm and 0.09 fm



h_+ 0.06 fm and 0.045 fm



h₋ chiral fit formula

▶ For light spectator quark mass m_{ℓ} , lattice spacing *a*, and recoil factor *w* we fit

$$h_{-}(a, m_{\ell}, w) = \frac{X_{-}}{m_{c}} - \rho_{-}^{2}(w-1) + k_{-}(w-1)^{2} + c_{1,-}m_{\ell} + c_{a,-}a^{2} + c_{a,w,-}a^{2}(w-1)$$

h_ fit result full dataset



B2D h

physical value 0.12fm 0.1ms 0.12fm 0.14ms 0.12fm 0.2ms 0.12fm 0.4ms 0.09fm 0.05ms 0.09fm 0.1ms 0.09fm 0.15ms 0.09fm 0.2ms 0.09fm 0.4ms 0.06fm 0.1ms 0.06fm 0.14ms 0.06fm 0.2ms 0.06fm 0.4ms 0.045fm 0.2ms

h_{-} 0.12 fm and 0.09 fm



B2D h a=0.09 fm

*h*_ **0.06 fm and 0.045 fm**



B2D h_ a=0.045 fm

z expansion

- ► Model-independent parameterization of the q² (or w) dependence of f₊ and f₀.
- Build in constraints from analyticity and unitarity.
- Becher and Hill [hep-ph/0509090] propose the conformal map

$$z(w) = \frac{\sqrt{1+w} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1+w} + \sqrt{2}}$$

- Maps the physical region $w \in [1, 1.59]$ to $z \in [0, 0.0644]$.
- Pushes poles and branch cuts far away at $|z| \approx 1$.

Use

$$f_i(z) = \frac{1}{P_i(z)\phi_i(z)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_{i,n}z^n$$

- The Blaschke factor $P_+(z)$ could include the pole at $q^2 = M_{B_c^*}^2$.
- The "outer functions" ϕ_i are chosen to simplify the unitarity bound:

$$\sum_{n} |a_{i,n}|^2 \leq 1.$$

▶ Note, also, the kinematic constraint $f_+ = f_0$ at $q^2 = 0$ or $z \approx 0.0644$.

z expansion preliminary result with full data set and w = 1, 1.08, and 1.16



z

Comparison with experiment



For the sake of this comparison we take $|V_{cb}|$ from $B \rightarrow D^*$ at zero recoil (Fermilab/MILC, CKM2010) and show statistical errors only. The boxed region appears to have the smallest combined error.

To do list

Yet to complete

- Charm, bottom quark mass tuning corrections.
- Current renormalization factors.
- ► Full error analysis.