#### Coupled Two-Particle Channels in Finite Volume

Maxwell T. Hansen

Department of Physics University of Washington mth28@uw.edu

June 28, 2012

Hansen, M. T. & Sharpe, S. R. Multiple-channel generalization of Lellouch-Luscher formula. arXiv:1204.0826 [hep-lat] (2012) To appear in PRD

## Outline

- Introduction
- Generalized Lüscher method
- Generalized Lellouch-Lüscher formula
- Summary

# Introduction and Motivation

The finite volume effects for kaon decay were worked out by Martin Lüscher and Laurent Lellouch over a decade ago.<sup>1234</sup>

More recently, lattice simulations have made significant progress towards a first principle determination of these weak decay amplitudes.<sup>56</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Luescher, M. Commun. Math. Phys. **104**, 177 (1986).

- <sup>2</sup>Luescher, M. Commun. Math. Phys. 105, 153–188 (1986).
- <sup>3</sup>Luescher, M. Nucl. Phys. B354, 531–578 (1991).
- <sup>4</sup>Lellouch, L. & Luscher, M. Commun.Math.Phys. **219**, 31–44 (2001).
- <sup>5</sup>Blum, T. et al. Phys.Rev. D84, 114503 (2011).
- <sup>6</sup>Blum, T. *et al.* arXiv:1111.1699 [hep-lat] (2011).

M. T. Hansen (UW)

## Introduction and Motivation

What about heavier mesons?

For example, LHCb recently reported CP-violation in the difference of CP-asymmetries  $of^7$ 

$$D^0 \to \pi^- \pi^+$$
 and  $D^0 \to K^- K^+$ 

Is this consistent with the Standard Model?

<sup>7</sup>Aaij, R. *et al. Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 111602 (2012). M. T. Hansen (UW) Coupled Channel Generalization of LL

## Introduction and Motivation

What about heavier mesons?

For example, LHCb recently reported CP-violation in the difference of CP-asymmetries  $of^7$ 

$$D^0 \to \pi^- \pi^+$$
 and  $D^0 \to K^- K^+$ 

Is this consistent with the Standard Model?

Finite volume effects for these decays are not yet worked out. I present here a first step towards controlling these effects.

<sup>7</sup>Aaij, R. et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 111602 (2012).

## Outline

- Introduction
- Generalized Lüscher method
- Generalized Lellouch-Lüscher formula
- Summary

# Set-up

Here finite volume means...

- finite, cubic spatial volume (extent L)
- periodic boundary conditions
- time direction infinite.

Assume L large enough to ignore exponentially suppressed corrections.

Assume continuum field theory throughout.

Allow non-zero total momentum in finite volume frame...

• total energy E

• total momentum 
$$\vec{P}$$
  $\left(\vec{P} = \frac{2\pi \vec{n}_P}{L} \quad \vec{n}_P \in \mathbb{Z}^3\right)$ 

• CM frame energy  $E^*$   $\left(E^* = \sqrt{E^2 - \vec{P}^2}\right)$ 

# Set-up

Arbitrary number (N) of open channels...

- Restrict, however, to two-particle channels with scalar particles.
- Allow identical or non-identical particles.
- Allow non-degenerate masses.

For example 
$$N = 3$$
 with  $\pi\pi$  ,  $K\overline{K}$  and  $\eta\eta$ .

Define  $q_i^*$  as the magnitude of CM frame momentum for the *i*th channel.

## Statement of the problem

Infinite volume theory described by  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering amplitudes

 $i\mathcal{M}_{jk}$ 

where  $k = 1, \dots, N$  is the in-state  $j = 1, \dots, N$  is the out-state.

Want to relate  $\mathcal{M}$  to the discrete spectrum of the finite volume theory

$$E_k^*$$
 for  $k=1,2,3,\cdots$ 

at a given  $\{L, \vec{n}_P\}$ .

Found the result by generalizing work of Kim, Sachrajda and Sharpe.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>8</sup>Kim, C. et al. Nucl. Phys. B727, 218–243 (2005).

M. T. Hansen (UW)

# Definition of F

To state the result define  $F_{ij;\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,m_2}$ 

$$F_{ij;\ell_{1},m_{1};\ell_{2},m_{2}} \equiv \delta_{ij}\eta_{i} \left[ \frac{\operatorname{Re}q_{i}^{*}}{8\pi E^{*}} \delta_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}} \delta_{m_{1}m_{2}} + \frac{i}{2\pi EL} \sum_{\ell,m} x_{i}^{-\ell} \mathcal{Z}_{\ell m}^{P}[1;x_{i}^{2}] \int d\Omega Y_{\ell_{1},m_{1}}^{*} Y_{\ell,m}^{*} Y_{\ell_{2},m_{2}} \right]$$

where  $x_i \equiv q_i^* L/(2\pi)$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_{\ell m}^P$  is a generalization of the zeta-function.

 $\eta=1/2$  for identical particles and 1 for non-identical.

Observe that F is...

- diagonal in channel space
- not diagonal in angular momentum space (rotational symmetry broken).

## Definition of ${\mathcal M}$

We also define the partial wave scattering amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_{ij;\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,m_2}$ 

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}(\hat{k}^*, \hat{k}'*) \equiv 4\pi \mathcal{M}_{ij;\ell_1, m_1;\ell_2, m_2} Y_{\ell_1, m_1}(\hat{k}^*) Y^*_{\ell_2, m_2}(\hat{k}'^*)$$

In contrast to F,  $\mathcal{M}$  is...

- not diagonal in channel space
- diagonal in angular momentum space.

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij;\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,m_2} = \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\ell_1,m_1} \delta_{\ell_1\ell_2} \delta_{m_1m_2}$$

## Generalized Lüscher method

We find that the spectrum

$$\Xi_k^*$$
 for  $k=1,2,3,\cdots$ 

at a particular  $\{L, \vec{n}_P\}$  is given by solutions to

$$\det(F^{-1}+i\mathcal{M})=0.$$

Agrees with work by Briceno and Davoudi (submitted simultaneously).<sup>9</sup>

Earlier work found equivalent result for limiting case of only s-wave scattering and  $\vec{P}=0.^{10}$ 

<sup>9</sup>Briceno, R. A. & Davoudi, Z. arXiv:1204.1110 [hep-lat] (2012).
<sup>10</sup>Bernard, V. *et al. JHEP* **1101**, 019 (2011).

M. T. Hansen (UW)

# Generalized Lüscher method

$$\det(F^{-1}+i\mathcal{M})=0.$$

Result holds for

- any number of strongly coupled channels (but only two-particle, scalar channels)
- any total momentum  $\vec{P}$
- arbitrary values of the different partial wave amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_{ij;\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,m_2}$
- F is not diagonal in angular momentum. However if

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij}^{\ell>\ell_{max},m}=0$$

then one can replace F with finite version.

## Two channel, s-wave limit

From now on assume

- $\ell_{max} = 0$  (only keep s-wave)
- N=2 (only two open channels)

In particular consider toy model of pions and kaons with  $M_{\pi} < M_{\mathcal{K}} < 2M_{\pi}$ .

Assume that  $2M_{K} < E^{*} < 4M_{\pi}$ , so the only open channels are

1:  $\pi\pi$  (identical) and 2:  $K\overline{K}$  (nonidentical).

We find

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L, E^*, \vec{P}) \equiv \det \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (F_1^s)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (F_2^s)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{1 \to 1}^s & \mathcal{M}_{2 \to 1}^s \\ \mathcal{M}_{1 \to 2}^s & \mathcal{M}_{2 \to 2}^s \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

## Curves from UChPT

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L,E^*,\vec{P}=0)=0$$

Curves predicted by unitarized chiral perturbation theory (UChPT)



## S-matrix

Next note

$$i\left(\mathcal{M}\right) = \left(\mathcal{Q}\right)\left[\left(\mathcal{S}\right) - 1\right]\left(\mathcal{Q}\right)$$

where 
$$\left( \begin{array}{c} Q \end{array} 
ight) = \sqrt{4\pi E^*} \begin{bmatrix} (q_1^*\eta_1)^{-1/2} & 0 \ 0 & (q_2^*\eta_2)^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 ,

and  $\left( \; S \; 
ight)$  is a dimensionless, 2 imes 2, symmetric, unitary matrix.

#### Determined by 3 real parameters

#### Extraction of amplitude

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L,E^*,ec{P}=0)=0$$

Extraction of the scattering amplitude at a given energy  $E^*$ 



#### Extraction of amplitude

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L, E^*, \vec{P} = 0) = 0$$

Extraction of the scattering amplitude at a given energy  $E^*$ 



$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_1, E^*, \vec{P}_1) = 0$$
  
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_2, E^*, \vec{P}_2) = 0$   
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_3, E^*, \vec{P}_3) = 0$ 

M. T. Hansen (UW)

## Outline

- Introduction
- Generalized Lüscher method
- Generalized Lellouch-Lüscher formula
- Summary

## Add in another particle

So far we have given the relation between  $\mathcal M$  and  $E_k^*$  for a toy theory with two channels

1:  $\pi\pi$  (identical) and 2:  $\overline{KK}$  (nonidentical),

Now consider an additional scalar particle in the toy model.

Call it the *D*-meson and take

 $2M_{\pi} < 2M_{K} < M_{D} < 4M_{\pi}$ .

This is a bad approximation for the actual *D*-meson.

Define  $\mathcal{H}_W$  as a weak hamiltonian density which couples D to  $\pi\pi$  and  $K\overline{K}$ .

We want a method to determine infinite volume weak decay elements

$$A_{D \to \pi\pi} \equiv \langle \pi \pi | \mathcal{H}_W | D \rangle$$
 and  $A_{D \to KK} \equiv \langle K \overline{K} | \mathcal{H}_W | D \rangle$ ,

from finite volume quantities.

# Tuning degeneracy

Identify  $\{L, \vec{n}_P\}$  that put  $M_D$  in the spectrum.



$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_1, M_D, \vec{P}_1) = 0$$
  
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_2, M_D, \vec{P}_2) = 0$   
 $\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_3, M_D, \vec{P}_3) = 0$ 

$$M_{D \to n} = \langle n | \mathcal{H}_W(0) | D \rangle$$

We want a formula that takes as input  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $M_{D 
ightarrow n}$  and gives from this

$$A_{D \to \pi\pi} \equiv \langle \pi \pi | \mathcal{H}_W | D \rangle$$
 and  $A_{D \to KK} \equiv \langle K \overline{K} | \mathcal{H}_W | D \rangle$ .

To derive this incorporate the weak interaction into the hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(x) + \lambda \mathcal{H}_W(x),$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real free parameter.

The new hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(x) + \lambda \mathcal{H}_W(x)$$

changes the secular equation to

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}+\Delta\mathcal{M}}(L,E^*,\vec{P})=0$$
.

The change  $\Delta \mathcal{M}$  is related to the infinite volume decay amplitudes through terms proportional to

$$\begin{array}{ll} A_{\pi\pi\to D}A_{D\to\pi\pi} & A_{KK\to D}A_{D\to\pi\pi} \\ A_{\pi\pi\to D}A_{D\to KK} & A_{KK\to D}A_{D\to KK} \end{array}$$



In addition if  $E^* = M_D$  is in the spectrum of the unperturbed hamiltonian, then

$$E^* = M_D \pm \lambda V |M_{D \to n}|$$

is the shifted level in the spectrum of

 $\mathcal{H}(x) + \lambda \mathcal{H}_W(x) \, .$ 

We generate three new equations

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_1, M_D, \vec{P}_1) = 0 \qquad \Delta^{\mathcal{M}+\Delta\mathcal{M}}(L_1, M_D \pm \lambda \Delta E^*, \vec{P}_1) = 0$$
  
$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_2, M_D, \vec{P}_2) = 0 \longrightarrow \Delta^{\mathcal{M}+\Delta\mathcal{M}}(L_2, M_D \pm \lambda \Delta E^*, \vec{P}_2) = 0$$
  
$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L_3, M_D, \vec{P}_3) = 0 \qquad \Delta^{\mathcal{M}+\Delta\mathcal{M}}(L_3, M_D \pm \lambda \Delta E^*, \vec{P}_3) = 0$$

Expand the new equations...at  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ 

- Terms with  $A_{j \to D} A_{D \to k}$  enter through  $\Delta \mathcal{M}$
- Terms with  $|M_{D \rightarrow n}|$  enter through  $\Delta E^*$

## Result

The final result may be written as

$$|C_{\pi}(L_n, \vec{P}_n) A_{D \to \pi\pi} + C_{\kappa}(L_n, \vec{P}_n) A_{D \to \kappa\kappa}| = |M_{D \to n}|.$$

## Result

The final result may be written as

$$\left|C_{\pi}(L_{n},\vec{P}_{n}) A_{D \to \pi\pi} + C_{K}(L_{n},\vec{P}_{n}) A_{D \to KK}\right| = \left|M_{D \to n}\right|.$$

 $A_{D\to\pi\pi}$  and  $A_{D\to KK}$  are complex. However one can show that, given  $\mathcal{M}(E^* = M_D)$ , there are actually only **two real degrees of freedom** (Watson's theorem).

The coefficients  $C_{\pi}$  and  $C_K$  depend on  $M_D$ , on  $\{L_k, \vec{P}_k\}$  and also on  $\mathcal{M}(E^* = M_D)$  and  $\frac{d\mathcal{M}(E^*)}{dE^*}\Big|_{M_D}$ .

So we have two real unknowns, and three independent equations.

## Outline

- Introduction
- Generalized Lüscher method
- Generalized Lellouch-Lüscher formula
- Summary

# Summary

Generalized Lüscher method to include an arbitrary number of two particle channels in a moving frame.

Generalized Lellouch-Lüscher formula to give decay into strongly coupled two particle channels.

Next step is four particle channels.

### Relation on States

The final result may be written as

$$\left|C_{\pi}(L_{n},\vec{P}_{n}) A_{D\to\pi\pi}+C_{K}(L_{n},\vec{P}_{n}) A_{D\to KK}\right|=\left|M_{D\to n}\right|.$$

 $|C_{\pi}(L_n, \vec{P}_n) \langle \pi \pi | \mathcal{H}_W | D \rangle + C_{\kappa}(L_n, \vec{P}_n) \langle K \overline{K} | \mathcal{H}_W | D \rangle = |_L \langle n | \mathcal{H}_W | D \rangle|.$ 

Note that this result is really a relation on states

$$_{L}\langle n| = C_{\pi}\langle \pi\pi, \mathrm{out}| + C_{K}\langle K\overline{K}, \mathrm{out}| + \cdots$$

where the  $\cdots$  indicate higher angular momentum states which don't contribute to matrix elements.

#### Free curves

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{M}}(L,E^*,\vec{P}=0)=0$$

Curves predicted by UChPT together with free particle curves



#### Parametrization

Choosing

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{S} \end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\epsilon & -\sin\epsilon \\ \sin\epsilon & \cos\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\delta_{\alpha}} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & e^{2i\delta_{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\epsilon & \sin\epsilon \\ -\sin\epsilon & \cos\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

allows us to rewrite

$$\det[F^{-1}+i\mathcal{M}]=0$$

as

$$\begin{split} [\tan \delta_{\alpha} + \tan \phi^{P}(q_{1}^{*})] [\tan \delta_{\beta} + \tan \phi^{P}(q_{2}^{*})] \\ + \sin^{2} \epsilon \ [\tan \delta_{\alpha} - \tan \delta_{\beta}] [\tan \phi^{P}(q_{1}^{*}) - \tan \phi^{P}(q_{2}^{*})] = 0. \end{split}$$

where

$$an \phi^P(q^*) = -\pi^{3/2}(E/E^*) \; x \; [Z^P_{00}(1;x^2)]^{-1} \, .$$

#### Parametrization

$$\begin{split} [\tan \delta_{\alpha} + \tan \phi^{P}(q_{1}^{*})] [\tan \delta_{\beta} + \tan \phi^{P}(q_{2}^{*})] \\ + \sin^{2} \epsilon \ [\tan \delta_{\alpha} - \tan \delta_{\beta}] [\tan \phi^{P}(q_{1}^{*}) - \tan \phi^{P}(q_{2}^{*})] = 0 \end{split}$$

Observe that this reduces to the uncoupled case for

• 
$$\epsilon = 0$$
  
•  $\tan \phi^P(q_1^*) = \tan \phi^P(q_2^*)$  (degenerate masses)

• 
$$\tan \delta_{\alpha} = \tan \delta_{\beta}$$

# Alternative definition of F

$$F_{ij;\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,m_2} \equiv \delta_{ij}F_{i;\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,m_2} \\ \equiv \delta_{ij}\eta_i \left[ \frac{\operatorname{Re} q_i^*}{8\pi E^*} \delta_{\ell_1\ell_2}\delta_{m_1m_2} - \frac{i}{2E^*} \sum_{\ell,m} \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{q_i^{*\,\ell}} c_{\ell m}^{P}(q_i^{*\,2}) \int d\Omega Y_{\ell_1,m_1}^* Y_{\ell,m}^* Y_{\ell_2,m_2} \right]$$

$$c_{\ell m}^{P}(q^{*2}) = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{\omega_{k}^{*}}{\omega_{k}} \frac{e^{\alpha(q^{*2}-k^{*2})}}{q^{*2}-k^{*2}} k^{*\ell} Y_{\ell,m}(\hat{k}^{*}) -\delta_{\ell 0} \mathcal{P} \int \frac{d^{3}k^{*}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\omega_{k}^{*}}{\omega_{k}} \frac{e^{\alpha(q^{*2}-k^{*2})}}{q^{*2}-k^{*2}}$$

For a given  $\{L, \vec{P}\}$ , the two-particle energies of the finite volume theory are the values of E where

$$C_L(E,\vec{P}) \equiv \int_L d^4x \ e^{-i\vec{P}\cdot\vec{x}+iEt} \langle \Omega | T\sigma(x)\sigma^{\dagger}(0) | \Omega \rangle_L$$

diverges. Here  $\sigma(x)$  is an operator which couples to two particle states.

We now turn to a **nonperturbative calculation of the finite volume corrections to**  $C_L$ . We work in scalar field theory and allow all terms with even powers of the single particle interpolating fields.

Let's first consider  $E^* < 2M_K$  (also  $< 4M_\pi$ ) so that we only have to worry about two pion states.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>11</sup>Kim, C. et al. Nucl. Phys. **B727**, 218–243 (2005).

Then  $C_L(E, \vec{P})$  is equal to a sum of all Feynman diagrams built from...

• endcaps  $\sigma(q)$  and  $\sigma^{\dagger}(q')$ . These are regular functions of momentum, determined by the specific form of the operators.



arbitrary even vertices

$$\times$$
  $\times$ 

exact pion propagators

-

$$---=irac{z(q)}{(q^0)^2-ec q^2-M_{\pi}^2+i\epsilon}$$

Schematically



For the values of  $E^*$  being considered, only two propagators can go on shell.



 $+\cdots$ 





Let's focus on the first term.

### Finite volume effects in first term

Implies we can write

first term = infinite volume version of first term

$$+\int d\Omega d\Omega' \,\,\sigma^*(\hat{q}) \mathcal{F}(\hat{q},\hat{q}')\sigma^{*\dagger}(\hat{q}')$$

first term = infinite volume version of first term

$$-\sigma_{\ell m}F_{\ell m,\ell'm'}\sigma^{\dagger}_{\ell'm'}$$



## Finite volume effects in first term

Implies we can write

first term = infinite volume version of first term

$$+\int d\Omega d\Omega' \,\,\sigma^*(\hat{q}) \mathcal{F}(\hat{q},\hat{q}')\sigma^{*\dagger}(\hat{q}')$$

first term = infinite volume version of first term

$$-\sigma_{\ell m}F_{\ell m,\ell'm'}\sigma^{\dagger}_{\ell'm'}$$

$$(\sigma^{\dagger}) \bullet (\sigma) = (\sigma^{\dagger}) \bullet (\sigma) + (\sigma^{\dagger}) \bullet (\sigma)$$

$$\mathcal{F}$$

In the term with F only the on-shell values of the  $\sigma$ s are needed.

#### Substitute



#### into







$$C_{L}(E, \vec{P}) = C_{\infty}(E, \vec{P})$$

$$+ \left\{ \underbrace{\sigma^{\dagger}}_{A} + \underbrace{\sigma^{\dagger}}_{\bullet} \underbrace{\bullet}_{iK} + \cdots \right\}_{F}$$

$$A \times \left\{ \underbrace{iK}_{\bullet} \underbrace{\sigma}_{A} + \underbrace{\sigma}_{A'} + \cdots \right\}_{F}$$

$$+ \cdots$$

$$A \times \left\{ \underbrace{iK}_{\bullet} \underbrace{\sigma}_{A'} + \underbrace{\sigma}_{A'} + \cdots \right\}_{F}$$





# Result

We conclude

$$C_L(E,\vec{P}) - C_{\infty}(E,\vec{P}) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A' F[-i\mathcal{M}F]^n A = -A' \frac{1}{F^{-1} + i\mathcal{M}} A$$

So for given values of  $\{L,\vec{n}_P\},$  the energies in the spectrum are all  $E^*$  for which

$$\det(F^{-1}+i\mathcal{M})=0.$$