

Calculating the two-pion decay and mixing of neutral K mesons

Lattice 2012

June 26, 2012

Norman H. Christ

RBC and UKQCD Collaboration

Outline

- Weak interactions on the lattice
- $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$
 - Lattice aspects
 - Results ($\Delta I = 3/2$ and $1/2$)
- Second order weak processes
 - Focus on $m_{K_L} - m_{K_S}$
 - Indirect CP violation: ε_K
 - Rare K decays

Overview


- $m_\pi=135$ MeV and $L = 4 - 6$ fm are now possible, even with domain wall fermions.
- Increase accuracy on standard quantities:
 $f_\pi, f_K, m_{ud}, m_s, B_K, \dots$
- Compute new quantities
 - Better computers + algorithms
 - Avoid mass extrapolations and ChPT

Low Energy Effective Theory

- Represent weak interactions by local four-quark Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\Delta S=1)} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ud} V_{us}^* \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{10} \left[z_i(\mu) - \frac{V_{td} V_{ts}^*}{V_{ud} V_{us}^*} y_i(\mu) \right] Q_i \right\}$$

- $V_{qq'}$ – CKM matrix elements
- z_i and y_i – Wilson Coefficients
- Q_i – four-quark operators



Four quark operators

- **Current-current operators**

$$Q_1 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{u}_\beta u_\beta)_{V-A}$$

$$Q_2 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{u}_\beta u_\alpha)_{V-A}$$

- **QCD Penguins**

$$Q_3 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V-A}$$

$$Q_4 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V-A}$$

$$Q_5 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V+A}$$

$$Q_6 \equiv (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A}$$

- **Electro-Weak Penguins**


$$Q_7 \equiv \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V+A}$$

$$Q_8 \equiv \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V+A}$$

$$Q_9 \equiv \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\alpha)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\beta)_{V-A}$$

$$Q_{10} \equiv \frac{3}{2} (\bar{s}_\alpha d_\beta)_{V-A} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q (\bar{q}_\beta q_\alpha)_{V-A}$$

Second order weak processes



RBC Collaboration

- BNL
 - Alexei Bazavov
 - Prasad Hegde
 - **Chulwoo Jung**
 - Frithjof Karsch
 - Swagato Mukherjee
 - Peter Petreczky
 - **Amarjit Soni**
 - **Christian Sturm** (Munich)
 - Ruth Van de Water
 - Oliver Witzel (BU)
- RBRC
 - Yasumichi Aoki (Nagoya)
 - **Tom Blum** (Connecticut)
 - Tomomi Ishikawa
 - **Taku Izubuchi** (BNL)
 - **Christoph Lehner**
 - Yu Maezawa
 - Shigemi Ohta (KEK)
 - Eigo Shintani
- Columbia
 - **Norman Christ**
 - Xiao-Yong Jin
 - **Chris Kelly**
 - **Matthew Lightman** (St. Louis)
 - Jasper Lin
 - **Qi Liu**
 - **Robert Mawhinney**
 - Hao Peng
 - Hantao Yin
 - **Jianglei Yu**
 - **Daiqian Zhang**

UKQCD Collaboration

- Edinburgh
 - **Rudy Arthur**
 - **Peter Boyle**
 - **Nicolas Garron**
 - **Jamie Hudspith**
 - Karthee Sivalingam
- Southampton
 - Dirk Brommel
 - Jonathan Flynn
 - **Elaine Goode**
 - Andreas Juttner
 - **Andrew Lytle**
 - **Chris Sachrajda**

$K \rightarrow \pi \pi$ decay


Lattice Aspects

Evaluate $\langle K|H_W|\pi\pi\rangle$

- Use SU(3) ChPT: $\langle K|H_W|\pi\rangle$ & $\langle K|H_W|0\rangle \rightarrow \langle K|H_W|\pi\pi\rangle$?
 - m_K too large
 - $\sim 70\%$ errors
- Maiani-Testa no-go theorem (1990):
 - Euclidean space: e^{-Ht} projects onto lowest energy state
 - Gives π - π state with **zero** relative momentum
 - Watson theorem: outgoing $\pi - \pi$ scattering phase requires Minkowski space?

Resolved by Lellouch-Luscher

- Use finite-volume quantization.
- Adjust volume so 1st or 2nd excited state has correct p .
- Requires extracting signal from non-leading large t behavior:



$$G(t) \sim c_0 e^{-E_0 t} + c_1 e^{-E_1 t}$$

- Finite volume states correctly include $\pi - \pi$ interactions.
- Lellouch-Luscher correction factor compensates for finite volume, \vec{J} non-conservation.


Lattice operators

- Use chiral fermions (DWF): good short-distance chiral symmetry controls operator mixing ($L_s=16$ and 32)
- Use non-perturbative methods to convert lattice operators to regularization invariant (RI) scheme at a scale μ .
- Use a series of finer lattice ensembles to non-perturbatively run μ up to 3 GeV.
- Use continuum perturbation theory to convert RI to $\overline{\text{MS}}$

$$\Delta I = 3/2$$

$$\Delta I = 3/2 \quad K \rightarrow \pi \pi$$


- Three operators contribute $O^{(27,1)}$, $O^{(8,8)}$ and $O^{(8,8)_m}$.
- Use isospin to relate to $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+$.
- Use anti-periodic boundary conditions for d quark.
(Changhoan Kim, hep-lat/0210003).



- **Achieve essentially physical kinematics!**

(63 \rightarrow 147 configurations)


- $m_\pi = 142.9(1.1)$ MeV
- $m_K = 511.3(3.9)$ MeV
- $E_{\pi\pi} = 492(5.5)$ MeV




Computational Set-up

(Lightman and Goode)

- Use anti-periodic boundary conditions for d quark in two directions (average over three choices).
- Fix $\pi - \pi$ source at $t = 0$, vary location of O_W and kaon source.



$\langle \pi \pi | O | K \rangle$ from 63 configurations




Plot ratio of correlators:

$$\frac{C_{K\pi\pi}^i(t)}{C_K(t_K - t)C_{\pi\pi}(t)} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_i}{Z_K Z_{\pi\pi}}$$

Relate lattice and continuum operators

- Calculation is performed on $1/a=1.37$ GeV lattice.
- Matching to perturbative $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme is unreliable at scale $\mu \sim 1/a$!
- Carry out sequence of NP RI matching steps:

$$Z_{(\not{A}, \not{A})}^{\overline{\text{MS}}, (\text{latt})}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.424(4)(4) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.472(6)(8) & -0.020(5)(21) \\ 0 & -0.067(23)(30) & 0.572(28)(20) \end{pmatrix}$$



Determine physical A_2


- Recall $\langle \pi\pi(I=2) | \mathcal{L}_W(0) | K \rangle = A_2 e^{i\delta_2}$

$$A_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi q_\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial q_\pi} + \frac{\partial\delta}{\partial q_\pi}} L^{3/2} a^{-3} G_F V_{ud} V_{us} \sqrt{m_K} E_{\pi\pi} \\ \times \sum_{i,j} C_i(\mu) Z_{ij}(\mu) \langle \pi\pi | Q_j | K \rangle$$

- $\text{Re}(A_2)$ dominated by single operator $O^{(27,1)}$.
- $\text{Im}(A_2)$ dominated by the operators $O^{(8,8)}$ and $O^{(8,8)_m}$
- Determine Lellouch-Luscher factor.

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial q_\pi} = 5.038(34) \quad \frac{\partial\delta}{\partial q_\pi} = -0.2954(20)$$

Determine $\delta_2(k)$



$$\phi(q_\pi) + \delta(k) = n\pi$$

Determine physical A_2

- Error estimates:

	Re A_2	Im A_2
lattice artefacts	15%	15%
finite-volume corrections	6.2%	6.8%
partial quenching	3.5%	1.7%
renormalization	1.8%	5.6%
unphysical kinematics	0.4%	0.8%
derivative of the phase shift	0.97%	0.97%
Wilson coefficients	6.6%	6.6%
Total	18%	19%

- $\text{Re}(A_2) = (1.436 \pm 0.063_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.258_{\text{sys}}) 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}$

Experiment: $1.479(4) 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}$


- $\text{Im}(A_2) = -(6.29 \pm 0.46_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.20_{\text{sys}}) 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}$

$$\Delta \mathbf{I} = 1/2$$

$\Delta I = 1/2 \quad K \rightarrow \pi \pi$

(Qi Liu)


- Made much more difficult by disconnected diagrams:



- 16³ x 32 ensemble (arXiv:1106.2714 [hep-lat])
 - $1/a = 1.73$ GeV, $m_\pi = 420$ MeV, $L = 1.8$ fm
 - Use 8000 time units, measure every 10 (800 configs.)
- 24³ x 64 ensemble (22 x harder)
 - $1/a = 1.73$ GeV, $m_\pi = 329$ MeV, $L = 2.8$ fm
 - Use 5520 time units, measure every 40 (138 configs.)
- Adjust valence strange mass for on-shell, threshold kinematics ($\pi \pi$ state is unitary)


$$\Delta I = 1/2 \quad K \rightarrow \pi \pi$$

- Code 50 different contractions of four types:



Substantially improved methods



- Improve statistics using sources at each of 32 or 64 times
- Accelerate inversions with low-mode deflation or EigCG
- Reduce vacuum coupling by separating pion sources
- Subtract divergent $\bar{s}d$ and $\bar{s} \gamma^5 d$ terms
 - Does not affect on-shell amplitudes
 - Suppress $1/a^2$ -enhanced excited state contributions.



$\Delta I = 1/2 \quad K \rightarrow \pi \pi \quad 24^3 \times 64$

Q2 - largest part of $\text{Re}(A_0)$

Q6 - largest part of $\text{Im}(A_0)$



$\Delta=12 \quad K - \pi\pi$ separation

—●— Full amplitude
—○— (') Drop disconnected

$m_\pi(\text{MeV})$	$m_K(\text{MeV})$	$\text{Re}(A_0)$	$\text{Re}(A'_0)$	$\text{Im}(A_0)$	$\text{Im}(A'_0)$	$\text{Re}(A_2)$	$\text{Im}(A_2)$
329.3	662.1	31.1(4.5)	27.8(0.8)	-33(15)	-36.3(16)	2.668(14)	-0.6509(34)

$\Delta I = 1/2 \quad K \rightarrow \pi \pi$: **Future**

- Goal is a 20% calculation of ε'/ε with all errors controlled
- Repeat $\Delta I = 3/2$ kinematics
 - Use $32^3 \times 64$ volume with $1/a = 1.37$ GeV
 - Achieve $p = 205$ MeV from **G-parity** in 2 directions
(Chris Kelly – Friday)
- Exploring “all-2-all” propagators (KEK/Trinity)
 - Provide deflation
 - Extract many measurements from one configuration
 - Sum over localized sources – highly suppress vacuum coupling
- BG/Q gives 20 x speedup **(Peter Boyle – Friday)**
- Result hoped for in 2 years

$K_L - K_S$ mass difference

$K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ Mixing

- Time evolution of $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ system given by familiar Wigner-Weisskopf formula:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} K^0 \\ \bar{K}^0 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} M_{00} & M_{0\bar{0}} \\ M_{\bar{0}0} & M_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{00} & \Gamma_{0\bar{0}} \\ \Gamma_{\bar{0}0} & \Gamma_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} K^0 \\ \bar{K}^0 \end{pmatrix}$$


where:

$$\Gamma_{ij} = 2\pi \sum_{\alpha} \int_{2m_{\pi}}^{\infty} dE \langle i | H_W | \alpha(E) \rangle \langle \alpha(E) | H_W | j \rangle \delta(E - m_K)$$

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{P} \int_{m_{\pi}}^{\infty} dE \frac{\langle i | H_W | \alpha(E) \rangle \langle \alpha(E) | H_W | j \rangle}{m_K - E}$$

$K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ Mixing

- $m_{K_L} - m_{K_S}$ dominated by $p \leq m_c$




Lattice Version

(Jianglei Yu – Friday talk)

- Evaluate standard, Euclidean, 2nd order $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ amplitude:

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle 0 | T \left(K^0(t_f) \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt_2 \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt_1 H_W(t_2) H_W(t_1) K^{0\dagger}(t_i) \right) | 0 \rangle$$



Interpret Lattice Result

$$\mathcal{A} = N_K^2 e^{-M_K(t_f - t_i)} \left\{ \sum_{n \neq n_0} \frac{\langle \bar{K}^0 | H_W | n \rangle \langle n | H_W | K^0 \rangle}{M_K - E_n} \left(- (t_b - t_a) - \frac{1}{M_K - E_n} + \frac{e^{(M_K - E_n)(t_b - t_a)}}{M_K - E_n} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{K}^0 | H_W | n_0 \rangle \langle n_0 | H_W | K^0 \rangle (t_b - t_a)^2 \right\}$$

1. Δm_K^{FV}
2. Uninteresting constant
3. Growing or decreasing exponential:
 $E_n > m_K$ must be removed!
4. Degenerate $E_{\pi\pi} = m_K$ state

Remove finite volume effects


- Use Luscher condition to relate finite and infinite volume energies to 2nd order in H_W :

$$\phi\left(\frac{kL}{2\pi}\right) + \delta_0(k) + \delta_W(k) = n\pi$$

Strong interaction
 $\pi - \pi$ phase shift

Weak interaction
 $\pi - \pi$ phase shift

Finite volume
 $\pi - \pi$ momentum



Evaluate at $E_{\pi\pi} = m_K + E^{(1)} + E^{(2)}$

Infinite-finite volume relations

- Expand Luscher condition to 1st order in H_W :

$$\Gamma = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial E} (\phi + \delta_0) |\langle \pi \pi(E) | H_W | K_S \rangle|^2$$

- Expand to 2nd order in H_W :


$$\Delta m_K = \Delta m_K^{\text{FV}} + \frac{1}{\frac{\partial(\phi + \delta_0)}{\partial E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2(\phi + \delta_0)}{\partial E^2} |\langle n_0 | H_W | K_S \rangle|^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial E'} \left\{ \frac{\partial(\phi + \delta_0)}{\partial E} |\langle K_S | H_W | n_0 \rangle|^2 \right\}_{E=m_K} \right]$$

- Applies to long-distance parts of both
 - $\text{Re}(M_{0\bar{0}}) : m_{K_L} - m_{K_S}$
 - $\text{Im}(M_{0\bar{0}}) : \varepsilon_K$

Lattice setup

(Jianglei Yu)

- $N_f = 2+1$ and $2+1+1$, $16^3 \times 32$, $m_\pi = 420$ MeV
- Include type 1 and type 2 graphs:





First results

(Jianglei Yu)

- $N_f=2+1$, $16^3 \times 32$, $m_\pi = 420$ MeV
- Introduce GIM cancellation

$$\Delta M_K(m_c) = a m_c^2 + b$$



- Accurate m_c^2 behavior $\rightarrow m_c \sim 1$ GeV OK for $1/a=1.73$?
- Note $p \leq m_c$

Results

M_K	ΔM_K^{11}	ΔM_K^{12}	ΔM_K^{22}	$\Delta M_K (\times 10^{-12} \text{ MeV})$
0.3252(7)	6.38(14)	-2.64(14)	1.47(8)	5.52(24)
0.4087(7)	8.90(21)	-2.96(23)	2.10(12)	7.38(37)
0.4480(7)	10.63(27)	-3.18(30)	2.48(15)	8.61(49)
0.4848(8)	12.56(34)	-3.62(40)	2.89(20)	9.93(65)

- $\Delta m_K^{\text{expt}} = 3.483(6) 10^{-12} \text{ MeV}$
- Unphysical kinematics, $m_\pi = 421 \text{ MeV}$
- Disconnected diagrams dropped
- Identify $\pi\pi$ contribution? (Jianglei's talk)
- Active charm but $m_c a = 0.7$
- Improvements underway!

Kaon physics on the lattice

Outlook

- Work at physical quark masses.
- DW fermions and NPR give continuum-like control of operator normalization and mixing.
- Theoretical advances allow rescattering effects to be correctly computed in Euclidean space.
- Many critical quantities can now be computed:
 - $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$, $\Delta I=3/2$ and $1/2$, ε'/ε
 - $m_{K_L} - m_{K_S}$
 - $K \rightarrow \pi l \bar{l}$