# The sphaleron rate at the electroweak crossover with 126 GeV Higgs mass

Michela D'Onofrio<sup>a,b</sup>, Kari Rummukainen<sup>a,b</sup>, Anders Tranberg<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Helsinki, <sup>b</sup>Helsinki Institute of Physics, <sup>c</sup>Niels Bohr Institute

"Lattice 2012" Cairns, 28th June

# Introduction

- Study on Baryogenesis, a mechanism for producing more matter than antimatter;
- Mechanism unknown, but suggested it might take place at the electroweak phase transition;
- The EWPT needs to be first order; [Kuzmin, Rubakov, Shaposhnikov, 1985]
- The order of the transition and the temperature it occurs depend on the value of Higgs mass;
- Baryon number is violated in the SM ['t Hooft, 1976] through sphaleron transitions.

#### Motivation

Latest results (ATLAS/CMS) suggest Higgs (if it exists) might have a mass of  $m_H \sim 126$  GeV. This would make the EWPT a crossover [Kajantie, Laine, Rummukainen, Shaposhnikov, 1996]. However, the sphaleron rate is independent of the transition order, being defined in terms of the topology of the EW theory, and Baryogenesis might still be viable through Leptogenesis, as B - Lis conserved. The sphaleron rate enters L number production as a parameter converting L to B.

**Our work:** We found out the sphaleron rate as a function of temperature across the electroweak crossover.

#### Vacuum structure of the electroweak theory

\* In EW theory vacua are disconnected and degenerate



\* Each vacuum is labeled by a different Chern-Simons number N<sub>CS</sub>

$$N_{CS} = \int d^3x \ j^0_{CS} = -\frac{g^2}{64\pi} \int d^3x \ \epsilon^{ijk} \operatorname{Tr} \left( A_i F_{jk} + i \frac{g}{3} A_i A_j A_k \right).$$

\* When gauge fields pass from one vacuum to another,  $N_{CS}$  changes by 1 unit and B by 3 (through the EW anomaly)

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{B}=n_{g}\;\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{CS},$$

where  $n_g$  is the number of generations of fermions.

Moving between vacua: sphaleron transitions

- \* At zero temperature:
  - the process is classically forbidden;
  - quantum tunneling (instanton) is highly suppressed  $\sim \exp(-16\pi^2/g^2) \sim 10^{-170}$
- \* At higher temperatures (  $T \gtrsim 100$  GeV):
  - transitions are possible by surmounting the potential barrier through *sphaleron transitions* (lower barrier, large thermal energy)

The sphaleron rate is

$$\Gamma \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\langle (N_{CS}(t) - N_{CS}(0))^2 \rangle}{V t}$$

## Methods

We studied the baryon number violation rate:

- \* on a 3D-lattice, with L = 32;
- \* dimensional reduction  $\rightarrow$  3D effective theory;
- \* with fields: SU(2) + Higgs;
- \* two different methods, depending on the T we are examining:
  - Canonical Monte Carlo (heat bath)
  - Multicanonical MC + Real-time

# Dimensional reduction:

 $4D_{\rm continuum} \rightarrow 3D_{\rm continuum}$ 

The thermodynamics of the 4D electroweak theory is studied in 3D by mean of dimensional reduction, which gives the correspondence between 4D and 3D parameters. [Ginsparg, 1980; Appelquist, Pisarski, 1981]

The SU(2)-Higgs effective theory is

$$L = \frac{1}{4} F^a_{ij} F^a_{ij} + (D_i \phi)^{\dagger} (D_i \phi) + m_3^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \lambda_3 (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2,$$

where  $g_3^2$ ,  $\lambda_3$  and  $m_3^2$  are 3D effective parameters. [Kajantie, Laine, Rummukainen, Shaposhnikov, 1995]

Lattice - continuum relations:  $3D_{continuum} \leftrightarrow 3D_{lattice}$ 

- o The obtained 3D theory must be put on the lattice;
- o In order to preserve the match between lattice and continuum actions, corrections must be applied to the parameters  $g_3$ ,  $\lambda_3$ ,  $m_3$ ; [Symanzik, 1983]
- o All corrections (except for  $m_3$ ) have been calculated to O(a). [Moore, 1997]

## **Dynamics**

The time evolution of this effective SU(2) theory follows Langevin dynamics, at leading order in log(1/g) [Bödeker, 1998]

However, since Langevin dynamics is slow on lattice, it can be substituted by any other dissipative method as long as we know the correspondence

lattice-time step  $\leftrightarrow$  real-time step

# Heat bath evolution

In our case we use heat-bath update, with [Moore, Rummukainen, 2001]

$$\Delta t = \frac{n_t \sigma_{el} a^2}{4}$$

where:

$$\begin{array}{lll} n_t &=& \mathrm{updates} \; / \; \mathrm{measurement} \\ \sigma_{el} &=& \displaystyle \frac{m_D^2}{3\gamma} & \mathrm{non-abelian \; color \; conductivity} \\ m_D &=& \displaystyle \sqrt{\frac{11}{6}} \; g \mathcal{T} & \mathrm{Debye \; mass} \\ \gamma &=& \displaystyle \frac{Ng^2 \mathcal{T}}{4\pi} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{m_D}{\gamma} \right) + 3.041 \right] \approx 0.66361688 \; g^2 \mathcal{T} \\ &=& \mathrm{damping \; rate \; for \; the \; gauge \; boson} \end{array}$$

# Method: canonical vs multicanonical

- We choose a Higgs mass;
- We start at high T in the symmetric phase and use canonical MC/heat bath simulations to find the sphaleron rate;
- We lower the T towards the broken phase and notice the number of transitions decreases;
- In the deep broken phase the rate is highly suppressed and canonical methods don't work anymore;
  → we need multicanonical methods to compensate for the

 $\rightarrow$  we need multicationical methods to compensate for the high potential barrier.

# Canonical MC in the symmetric phase

\* The evolution follows a random walk in  $n_{CS}$  (canonical Monte Carlo) for, at high T:

- Larger availability of thermal energy



Figure: Left: n<sub>CS</sub> evolution;

Right: Probability distribution.

# Canonical MC at the crossover

\* The Hamiltonian evolution of a state wanders around one vacuum for an amount of time;

\* After crossing to another vacuum, it doesn't promptly continue to the next vacuum: EITHER it settles around the new vacuum OR returns to



Figure: Left: n<sub>CS</sub> evolution over time;

Right: Probability distribution.

#### Canonical MC in the deep broken phase

\* The configuration remains in the same vacuum, if we use canonical MC, because transitions are highly suppressed;



Figure: Left: n<sub>CS</sub> evolution over time; Right: Probability distribution.

#### Multicanonical method in the broken phase

We use a weight function to compensate the potential barrier and obtain a flat probability distribution. [Moore, 1998; Moore,

Rummukainen, 2000]

- 1. Set an order parameter to distinguish vacua:  $n_{CS}^* = 1/2$ , i. e. on top of the barrier;
- 2. Find the weight function W and calculate the  $P_\epsilon$  to be in the small interval  $n^*_{CS} \pm \epsilon/2$ : -multicanonical run
- 3. Calculate the probability flux: need to know the mean inverse time for crossing  $\epsilon$ : -real-time run

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\langle \left| \frac{dN_{CS}}{dt} \right| \right\rangle$$

#### Multicanonical method in the broken phase

4. Calculate the dynamical prefactor

$$d = \sum_{sample} \frac{\delta}{\text{nr crossings}}$$

which is the fraction of  $n_{CS}^*$  crossings that lead to a permanent change in  $N_{CS}$ .  $\delta$  is either 0 or 1. -real-time simulation



Figure: n<sub>CS</sub> real-time trajectories.

## The sphaleron rate

The sphaleron rate we obtain from the combined multicanonical and real-time simulations is [Moore, 1998]

$$\Gamma_{s} \equiv P(|N_{CS} - N_{CS}^{*}| < \epsilon/2) \times \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\langle \left| \frac{dN_{CS}}{dt} \right| \right\rangle \times d$$

and is converted into physical units by:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\Gamma_s}{\Delta t \ a^3 \ N_s^3}.$$

with a = lattice spacing,  $N_s =$  lattice sites.

#### Multicanonical MC in the deep broken phase

We need to use multicanonical methods to observe transitions. We sample with constant probability:  $P_{\rm muca} \propto \exp[W] P_{\rm can}$ 



Left:  $P_{\text{muca}}$ ;

Centre: n<sub>CS</sub> evolution;

Right:  $P_{can}$ 

# The sphaleron rate for $m_H = 126 \text{ GeV}$



Asymptotic limit:  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-7}$  T<sup>4</sup>. [Burnier, Laine, Shaposhnikov, 2006]

# Conclusions

\* We got the complete picture of the sphaleron rate through the electroweak crossover, improving previous estimates.

\* Our results are in agreement with previous results, in the range where they exist.

\* The two simulation methods are consistent with each other.

\* The crossover temperature depends on the Higgs mass.

\* Even if CP-violation is too small in SM, Baryogenesis might be viable through Leptogenesis, where the sphaleron rate enters as a parameter.