Monte Carlo studies of CTA: an overview

Victor Stamatescu (University of Adelaide)







Outline

- 1) The Cherenkov Telescope Array concept
- 2) Imaging Air Cherenkov Technique & simulation
- 3) Previous and current large-scale simulation studies
- 4) On-going dedicated simulation studies
- 5) Future contributions from the Adelaide group

Please note: This talk in not given on behalf of the MC working group and only public results have been used





The Cherenkov Telescope Array

CTA aims for

- 10 x better sensitivity than the current-generation instruments
- extended energy coverage above and below to ~4 orders in magnitude

H.E.S.S.





ERITAS





The Cherenkov Telescope Array







Imaging Air Cherenkov Technique







Imaging Air Cherenkov Technique







Large-scale CTA simulations

Monte Carlo working group aims to:

- characterize the expected performance of proposed arrays
- optimize the telescope design & array layout for a fixed total cost and at a given site through an iterative approach (several rounds of simulation with feedback from hardware & physics working groups)
- aim for best performance parameters (sensitivity, angular resolution, ...) that impact on the physics goals





Large-scale CTA simulations

MC simulations performed on the European Grid Infrastructure and at local sites: MPIK Heidelberg, ISDC Geneva, DESY Zeuthen

Previous (prod-1) simulations

- 275 telescopes of 5 different types
- conservative assumptions about telescope parameters
- hypothetical amplitudes of 2000m and 3700m, with zenith angles of 20° and 50°
- results presented in Astroparticle Physics special issue on CTA

Current (prod-2) simulations

- 229 telescopes of 7 different types (2 types of MST, 4 types of SST)
- 3 candidate sites with altitudes between 1600m and 3700m
- assumed telescope parameters incorporate current designs (optics, camera, photosensors, trigger, readout)
- multiple telescope trigger schemes simulated in parallel
- save signal traces for each channel advanced signal extraction vs data transfer rate
- tests with higher rates of NSB, depending on the site





Interface to Physics working group

Physics working group defines **benchmark physics cases** that are used to evaluate the performance of each **candidate array**

MC Input: CTA performance file (Aeff, background rate, energy migration matrix, angular resolution) which depend on simulation parameters (zenith angle, site altitude, NSB, ...) + analysis & optimization criteria

Physics User Input: source energy spectrum, spatial morphology and the observation time

Physics Tools Output: possible realizations of energy spectra, light curves, sky maps





Interface to Physics working group

Physics working group defines **benchmark physics cases** that are used to evaluate the performance of each **candidate array**

MC Input: CTA performance file (Aeff, background rate, energy migration matrix, angular resolution) which depend on simulation parameters (zenith angle, site altitude, NSB, ...) + analysis & optimization criteria

Physics User Input: source energy spectrum, spatial morphology and the observation time

Physics Tools Output: possible realizations of energy spectra, light curves, sky maps



				CTA MC Prod-1 Full array	Telescope type:
	Large	Medium	Small	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Medium
	(LST)	(MST^{\dagger})	(SST)	· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Small size •
	~ /	\ /	× /		Medium (WF)
Diameter D (m)	24.0	12.3	7.4	$/ \cdot / \cdot \cdot$	(Test)
Dish shape [‡]	parab.	\mathbf{DC}	DC	$[/ \dots / !] * ! * ! * ! * ! * ! * ! * ! * ! * !$	
Mirror area (m^2)	412	100	37		
Mirror tiles	594	144	120	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Tile diam. (m)	0.90	0.90	0.60		
Focal length f (m)	31.2	15.6	11.2		
f/D	1.30	1.27	1.51	500 m	
f.o.v. diam. (deg.)	5	8	10		
Camera diam. (m)	2.8	2.2	2.0		
No. of pixels	2841	1765	1417	• • • 1000 m	
Pixel diam. (deg.)	0.09	0.18	0.25		
Pixel diam. (mm)	$49(50^{*})$	$49(50^{*})$	49 (50*)	\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot	
	I			1500 m	

K. Bernlöhr et al., Astropart. Phys. 43, 171, 2013









K. Bernlöhr et al. , Astropart.Phys. 43, 171, 2013 CTA Australia Consortium Workshop Adelaide, 30 September 2013











cherenkov telescope arrav





Current (prod-2) large-scale CTA simulations





K. Bernlöhr et al. arXiv:1307.2773 CTA Australia Consortium Workshop Adelaide, 30 September 2013



4m-class SST prototypes



R. Moderski et al. arXiv:1307.3137



A. Zech et al. arXiv:1307.3035





G. Pareschi et al. arXiv:1307.4962 CTA Australia Consortium Workshop Adelaide, 30 September 2013



G. Pareschi et al. arXiv:1307.4962

ASTRI





CHEC

MC comparison of MST designs

Davies Cotton (DC) MSTs vs Schwarzschild-Couder (SC) MSTs 10m aperture 120m inter-telescope spacing





T. Jogler et al. arXiv:1307.5905 CTA Australia Consortium Workshop Adelaide, 30 September 2013



4m DC SST cell spacing

Extrapolated performance of 64 x 4m DC SSTs arranged in 49 cells



ASTRI mini-array

smallest separation = 260 m





F. Di Pierro et al. arXiv:1307.3992



CTA Monte Carlo at Adelaide

Using computing facilities at eResarch SA, we plan to ...

- analyze prod-2 data with focus on the SST performance at multi-TeV energies:
 - run 2 analysis chains in parallel (baseline and advanced)
 - compare the different 4m-class SSTs
 - study the off-axis performance
 - study divergent pointing mode performance
- apply Physics Tools to study relevant science cases
- provide enhancements to existing analysis chains
 - improve effective area at the highest energies
 - quantify what can be gained by using pixel timing information
- perform dedicated MC productions to answer open questions on SST array design



Current Status: installing CTA simulation and analysis software, configuring job submission scripts





Summary

• Monte Carlo simulations are used to meet the physics goals of CTA in a cost-effective way

• Subset candidate arrays are drawn from large-scale productions for a fixed total cost and evaluated in terms of physics performance

• Telescope configuration parameters are chosen based on the current technical designs and understanding of the costs involved

• Current focus is on the impact of site altitude with a view to a decision on the Southern and Northern sites for CTA

• Also of interest are comparisons of competing SST designs

• On-going investigations on the effects of higher NSB rates, trigger schemes, and more advanced signal extraction



